

HANDBOOK ON BRIEFS AND ORAL ARGUMENTS

by

THE HON. ROBERT E. DAVISON

and

DAVID P. BERGSCHNEIDER

©2007 by the Office of the State Appellate Defender. All rights reserved.

Updated 2020 by: Kerry Bryson & Shawn O'Toole, Deputy State Appellate Defenders

State Appellate Defender Offices

Administrative Office

400 West Monroe - Suite 202
Springfield, Illinois 62705
Phone: (217) 782-7203
Fax: (217) 782-5385

First District Office

203 N. LaSalle, 24th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Phone: (312) 814-5472
Fax: (312) 814-1447

Second District Office

One Douglas Avenue, 2nd Floor
Elgin, Illinois 60120
Phone: (847) 695-8822
Fax: (847) 695-8959

Juvenile Defender Resource Center

400 West Monroe, Suite 202
Springfield, Illinois 62704
Phone: (217) 558-4606
Email: jdrc@osad.state.il.us

Third District Office

770 E. Etna Road
Ottawa, Illinois 61350
Phone: (815) 434-5531
Fax: (815) 434-2920

Fourth District Office

400 West Monroe - Suite 303
Springfield, Illinois 62705-5240
Phone: (217) 782-3654
Fax: (217) 524-2472

Fifth District Office

909 Water Tower Circle
Mount Vernon, Illinois 62864
Phone: (618) 244-3466
Fax: (618) 244-8471

INTRODUCTION

The first edition of this Handbook was written in the early 1970's by Kenneth L. Gillis, who went on to become a Circuit Court Judge in Cook County. The Handbook was later expanded by Robert E. Davison, former First Assistant Appellate Defender and Circuit Court Judge in Christian County. Although the Handbook has been updated on several occasions, the contributions of Judges Gillis and Davison remain an essential part.

The lawyers who use this Handbook are encouraged to offer suggestions for improving future editions.

David P. Bergschneider

Legal Director

Office of the State Appellate Defender 400 West Monroe, Suite 202 Springfield, IL 62705

March, 2007

Updated, January 2020

I. REVIEWING COURTS IN ILLINOIS.....	1
A. STRUCTURE.....	1
1. Supreme Court.....	1
2. Appellate Court	1
B. JURISDICTION.....	2
1. Supreme Court.....	2
2. Appellate Court	4
II. POST-TRIAL REMEDIES	6
A. DIRECT APPEAL.....	6
1. By the defendant	6
2. By the State	7
B. COLLATERAL REMEDIES.....	8
1. Post-conviction petitions.....	8
2. State habeas corpus.....	10
3. Section 2-1401 petitions	10
4. Mandamus, prohibition, supervisory authority.....	11
5. Federal habeas corpus	12
III. PERFECTING CRIMINAL APPEALS.....	12
A. NOTICE OF APPEAL	12
1. Time to file.....	12
2. Late notice of appeal	13

3. Form of	13
4. Trial court jurisdiction	14
B. APPEALS FROM GUILTY PLEAS	15
C. STATE APPEALS.....	18
D. APPEALS CONCERNING BAIL.....	19
E. DOCKETING STATEMENT	20
IV.THE RECORD ON APPEAL.....	20
A. CONTENTS OF THE RECORD	20
B. FILING THE RECORD	22
C. CORRECTING THE RECORD	24
D. SUFFICIENCY OF THE RECORD.....	24
V. READING THE RECORD & FINDING THE ISSUES.....	25
A. PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS.....	25
B. WHERE TO BEGIN.....	28
1. What to look for - Where to look	28
VI.BRIEF RULES.....	30
A. GENERALLY.....	30
1. Appellant's Brief.....	30
2. Appellee's Brief.....	31
3. Reply Brief.....	32
4. Time for Filing Briefs.....	32
5. Form of Briefs; Number of Copies; Service	34

6. Electronic Format.....	35
7. Page Limitations	35
8. References to Parties	35
9. Footnotes.....	36
10. Citations	36
B. THE COVER OF THE BRIEF	42
C. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	46
D. NATURE OF THE CASE.....	52
E. ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW & APPLICABLE	
STANDARDS OF REVIEW	53
1. Issues Presented.....	53
2. Standard of Review	55
F. JURISDICTION.....	58
1. Supreme Court.....	58
2. Appellate Court	59
G. STATUTES INVOLVED	60
H. STATEMENT OF FACTS	61
1. Generally.....	61
2. Accuracy, Completeness, Honesty.....	61
3. Nonargumentative	62
4. Order of Presenting the Facts.....	64
5. Source of Facts	66

6. Length.....	66
7. Check Your Work	67
I. THE ARGUMENT.....	67
1. Generally.....	67
2. Purpose of the Argument	68
3. State Your Position.....	68
4. Argue the Facts.....	70
5. Assertions.....	70
6. Authorities.....	71
7. Show the Prejudice.....	72
8. Brevity	73
J. THE CONCLUSION.....	73
K. APPENDIX	74
L. BRIEF WRITING CHECKLIST	79
VII. THE APPELLEE'S BRIEF.....	81
VIII. THE REPLY BRIEF.....	83
IX. ORAL ARGUMENT	85
A. PROCEDURE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT.....	85
B. IMPORTANCE OF ORAL ARGUMENT.....	86
C. CHECKLIST FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS.....	87
1. Before the Argument.....	87
2. During the Argument	88

X. MOTIONS IN THE REVIEWING COURTS	91
XI. REHEARING IN THE REVIEWING COURTS.....	92
A. GROUNDS FOR REHEARING	92
B. PETITION FOR REHEARING.....	92
XII. SEEKING SUPREME COURT REVIEW.....	93
A. STAYING THE MANDATE	93
B. TYPES OF REVIEW.....	94
1. Discretionary Review - Petitions for Leave to Appeal	94
2. Review as a Matter of Right	104
3. Review on Certificate of Importance	104
APPENDIX A INDEX TO SUPREME COURT RULES FOR	
CRIMINAL APPEALS.....	106
APPENDIX B OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER	
ISSUES CHECKLIST.....	110
APPENDIX C OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER	
WEB SITE OVERVIEW.....	117

I.

REVIEWING COURTS IN ILLINOIS

A. STRUCTURE

1. Supreme Court

The Supreme Court of Illinois has seven justices, each of whom is elected from his or her respective judicial district for a term of ten years. The State is divided into five judicial districts. Three Supreme Court Justices are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four districts. The Justices select one of their number to serve as Chief Justice for a three-year term.

The concurrence of four Justices is required for a decision. The Supreme Court holds five terms each year (January, March, May, September and November) in the Supreme Court Building, Springfield, Illinois. The Clerk of the Supreme Court is Carolyn Grosboll, Supreme Court Building, Springfield, Illinois 62705 (217-782-2035).

2. Appellate Court

There is an Appellate Court in each of the State's five Judicial Districts:

First District Appellate Court

160 N. LaSalle
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 793-5484

Second District Appellate Court

55 Symphony Way
Elgin, Illinois 60123
(847) 695-8822

Third District Appellate Court

1004 Columbus Street
Ottawa, Illinois 61350
(815) 434-5050

Fourth District Appellate Court

201 West Monroe
Springfield, Illinois 62704
(217) 782-2586

Fifth District Appellate Court

14th and Main Street
Mt. Vernon, Illinois 62864
(618) 242-3120

Appellate Court Justices are elected to ten-year terms. Ill. Const. art. VI, §10. The position of presiding Justice rotates each year. Three Justices participate in the decision of every case, and the concurrence of two is necessary to a decision. *People v. Ortiz*, 196 Ill.2d 236, 752 N.E.2d 410 (2001). The Appellate Court is in session throughout the year and sits periodically as judicial business requires.

B. JURISDICTION

Generally, an appeal from the Circuit Court is taken to the Appellate Court. However, cases in which a statute was held invalid are appealed directly to the Supreme Court.

1. Supreme Court

- (a) Criminal appeals in which a statute has been held invalid lie directly to the Supreme Court. Sup. Ct. Rule 603 (hereafter cited as Rule).

(b) The Supreme Court exercises original jurisdiction under its supervisory authority over all courts (Ill. Const. art. VI, §16; Rule 383) in cases relating to mandamus, prohibition, and habeas corpus, and "as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review." Ill. Const. art. VI, §4(a); Rule 381. See *People ex rel. Foreman v. Nash*, 118 Ill.2d 90, 514 N.E.2d 180 (1987) (mandamus, prohibition, supervisory); *Moore v. Strayhorn*, 114 Ill.2d 538, 502 N.E.2d 727 (1986) (mandamus, prohibition, supervisory); *Maloney v. Bower*, 113 Ill.2d 473, 498 N.E.2d 1102 (1986) (prohibition); *Daley v. Hett*, 113 Ill.2d 75, 495 N.E.2d 513 (1986) (mandamus, prohibition, supervisory); *People ex rel. Carey v. Cousins*, 77 Ill.2d 531, 397 N.E.2d 809 (1979) (mandamus); *People ex rel. Ward v. Moran*, 54 Ill.2d 552, 301 N.E.2d 300 (1975) (mandamus, supervisory); *Faheem-El v. Klinicar*, 123 Ill.2d 291, 527 N.E.2d 307 (1988) (habeas corpus).

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are matter of right if a constitutional question arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court. Ill. Const. art. VI, §4(c); Rule 317. Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are also a matter of right if the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that it should be considered by the Supreme Court. Ill. Const. art. VI, §4(c); Rule 316.

- (d) In cases not appealable from the Appellate Court as a matter of right, any party may file a petition for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court. The granting of a petition is within the discretion of the Supreme Court. Ill. Const. art. VI, §4(c); Rule 315.
- (e) The Supreme Court may also order that an appeal to the Appellate Court be taken directly to the Supreme Court if the “public interest requires prompt adjudication by the Supreme Court.” Rule 302(b). See also *People v. Waid*, 221 Ill.2d 464, 851 N.E.2d 1210 (2006).

2. Appellate Court

- (a) Other than cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court, all final judgments of the Circuit Court are appealable as a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located. Ill. Const. art. VI, §6; Rules 301, 603, 651(a); *People ex rel. Mosley v. Carey*, 74 Ill.2d 527, 387 N.E.2d 325 (1979). The final judgment in a criminal case is the pronouncement of sentence. *People v. Allen*, 71 Ill.2d 378, 375 N.E.2d 1283 (1978); *People v. Rose*, 43 Ill.2d 273, 253 N.E.2d 456 (1969); *People v. Stoops*, 313 Ill.App.3d 269, 728 N.E.2d 1241 (4th Dist. 2000).
- (b) No appeal may be taken from a judgment of acquittal in a criminal case. Ill. Const. art. VI, §6; *People v. Van Cleve*, 89 Ill.2d 298, 432 N.E.2d 837 (1982).

(c) The State may appeal only from an order or judgment the substantive effect of which results in dismissing a charge for any of the grounds enumerated in section 114-1 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963; arresting judgment because of a defective indictment, information or complaint; quashing an arrest or search warrant; or suppressing evidence. . Rule 604 (a)(1).

A defendant shall not be held in jail or to bail during the pendency of an appeal by the State, or of a petition or appeal by the State under Rule 315(a), unless there are compelling reasons for his or her continued detention or being held to bail. Rule 604(a)(3). Time during which a State appeal is pending is excluded from speedy trial calculations. Rule 604(a)(4); *People v. Young*, 82 Ill.2d 234, 412 N.E.2d 501 (1980); *People v. Flatt*, 82 Ill.2d 250, 412 N.E.2d 509 (1980); *People v. Carlton*, 98 Ill.2d 187, 455 N.E.2d 1385 (1983).

(d) Circuit Court orders that are not final judgments may be appealed only if allowed by Supreme Court Rule. *Flores v. Dugan*, 91 Ill.2d 108, 435 N.E.2d 480 (1982). The Supreme Court Rules permit the following appeals:

(1) A defendant placed on supervision or convicted and sentenced to probation, conditional discharge or periodic imprisonment may appeal either the finding of guilt or the conditions of the sentence. A defendant may also appeal an order revoking or modifying such a sentence. Rule 604(b).

- (2) A defendant may appeal from orders setting, modifying, revoking or denying bail and from orders refusing to modify bail. Rule 604(c).
- (3) A defendant or the State may appeal from an order holding the defendant unfit to stand trial or be sentenced. Rule 604(e).
- (4) A defendant may appeal the denial of a motion to dismiss on the grounds of former jeopardy. Rule 604(f).
- (5) The defendant may petition the Appellate Court for leave to appeal from an order granting the State's motion to disqualify defense counsel due to a conflict of interest. Rule 604(g).
- (6) For appeals from guilty pleas, see Ch. III, §B, *infra*.

II.

POST-TRIAL REMEDIES

There are various ways in which a defendant and the State may challenge the rulings or results in the Circuit Court. The following is a brief description of such procedures.

A. DIRECT APPEAL

1. By the defendant

The most common way a defendant challenges a conviction and sentence is by direct appeal to the Appellate Court. In limited circumstances, an appeal may lie directly to the Supreme Court.

In a direct appeal, review is limited to what appears in the record. Any issue that has been properly preserved in the Circuit Court may be raised on direct appeal.

In addition, the reviewing court may elect to consider, as “plain error,” substantial issues that were not properly preserved in the trial court. Rule 615(a).

After a decision by an Appellate Court, a party may petition for rehearing. Rule 367. If the Appellate Court acts on a rehearing petition and enters a new judgment, no further rehearing petitions are permitted. Rule 367(e). If the petition for rehearing is denied or the decision is reaffirmed on rehearing, a petition for leave to appeal may be filed in the Supreme Court either as a matter of right or of discretionary review. Rules 315; 317. Review may also be obtained if the Appellate Court certifies the issue as one of particular importance. Rule 316.

If the Supreme Court grants the petition for leave to appeal, the case is briefed and argued. Rule 315(h). A petition for rehearing may be filed if an adverse decision is obtained from the Supreme Court. Rule 367.

The proceeding in the Supreme Court completes the direct appeal in Illinois courts. If a federal issue has been preserved, a petition for certiorari may be filed in the United States Supreme Court, or a federal *habeas corpus* petition may be filed in U.S. District Court.

2. By the State

The State may appeal certain orders of the Circuit Court, as authorized by Supreme Court Rule. Rule 604(a), (e). However, the State may not appeal from a judgment of acquittal (Ill. Const. art. 6, §6), or from an order granting post-judgment DNA testing under 725 ILCS 5/116-3. *People v. Kliner*, 203 Ill.2d 402, 786 N.E.2d 976 (2002). On the other hand, the State may appeal an order denying a petition to

revoke probation, although the appeal must be brought under the rules governing civil appeals. *People v. Bredemeier*, 346 Ill.App.3d 557, 805 N.E.2d 261 (5th Dist. 2004). The procedure for a direct appeal by the State is the same as that for a direct appeal by a defendant.

B. COLLATERAL REMEDIES

Illinois law also allows a defendant to challenge a conviction in the following ways:

1. Post-conviction petitions

The Post-Conviction Hearing Act, 725 ILCS 5/122-1 through 5/122-8, provides a means for raising substantial constitutional violations in the proceedings that resulted in conviction. This is the normal procedure by which a defendant raises constitutional claims not shown by the record, such as ineffective assistance of counsel, coercion to plead guilty, or knowing use of perjured testimony. If a defendant takes a direct appeal, every issue that was or could have been raised on direct appeal will normally be considered waived for post-conviction proceedings. The legislature has frequently shortened the statute of limitations for post-conviction cases. At the present time, the post-conviction petition must be filed within six months after proceedings in the United States Supreme Court are completed. If no *certiorari* petition is filed, the petition must be filed within six months after the date on which a *certiorari* petition was due. If no direct appeal is taken, the petition must be filed no later than three years after the date of the conviction. 725 ILCS 5/122-1.

The statute of limitations is inapplicable if the petitioner shows that the untimely filing is due to reasons other than the petitioner's culpable negligence. In addition, the statute of limitation does not apply to a petition asserting actual innocence. 725 ILCS 5/122-1. In view of the frequency with which the statute of limitations changes, you must always consult the most recent legislation before reaching a conclusion as to the applicable filing deadline.

Once a post-conviction petition is filed in the Circuit Court, the petition may be dismissed without appointment of counsel if, within 90 days of the filing, the judge determines that the issues are frivolous or patently without merit. 725 ILCS 5/122-2.1.

Unless the petition of an indigent petitioner is dismissed as frivolous, counsel must be appointed. 725 ILCS 5/122-4. The petitioner can then amend the petition, the State is required to answer or move to dismiss, and an evidentiary hearing may be held. 725 ILCS 5/122-5; 122-6. The defendant must be given notice of the trial court's action, and either a denial of the petition on its merits or dismissal as frivolous may be appealed. Rule 651. Although 725 ILCS 5/122-8 provides that the petition must be considered by a judge who was not involved in the original conviction, that provision was held unconstitutional in *People v. Joseph*, 113 Ill.2d 36, 495 N.E.2d 501 (1986).

Case law regarding post-conviction petitions is contained in Section 9-1 of the **Criminal Law Handbook** (available online at <https://www.illinois.gov/osad/Publications>).

2. State habeas corpus

Habeas corpus in Illinois (735 ILCS 5/10-101 through 5/10-137) is a narrow, infrequently-utilized remedy to obtain immediate relief from illegal confinement. The only grounds for relief by *habeas corpus* are those set out in Section 5/10-124. See *Faheem-El v. Klincar*, 123 Ill.2d 291, 527 N.E.2d 307 (1988).

Case law regarding *habeas corpus* is contained in Section 9-4 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

3. Section 2-1401 petitions

735 ILCS 5/2-1401 provides a method of collateral relief which replaced certain common law writs. A Section 2-1401 petition (formerly referred to as a Section 72 petition) must be filed within two years following the conviction or order, unless the petitioner was under legal disability or duress or the grounds for relief were fraudulently concealed (*People v. Coleman*, 206 Ill.2d 261, 794 N.E.2d 275 (2002)) or the judgement in question is void. *People v. Gosier*, 205 Ill.2d 198, 792 N.E.2d 1266 (2001). Section 2-1401 is used to raise factual matters that were unknown and unavailable at the time of trial and which would likely have prevented the judgment from being entered. *People v. Berland*, 74 Ill.2d 286, 385 N.E.2d 649 (1979). Section 2-1401 relief cannot be based on events which occur after the conviction. *People v. Howard*, 363 Ill.App.3d 741, 844 N.E.2d 980 (1st Dist. 2006). Case law regarding Section 2-1401 petitions is contained in Section 9-2 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

Persons convicted of criminal offenses may also have the right to DNA and

other scientific testing that was unavailable at the time of their trial. See 725 ILCS 5/116-3. Case law regarding post-trial forensic testing is contained in Section 9-6 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

4. **Mandamus, prohibition, supervisory authority**

In certain circumstances, relief may be obtained by petitioning in the Supreme Court for *mandamus* or prohibition (Rule 381) or as a matter of the Supreme Court's supervisory authority. Rule 383. A writ of *mandamus* orders a lower court to perform a ministerial duty to which the petitioner is entitled, but is not available to require a lower court to exercise its discretion in a particular way or to change an act involving the exercise of judgment or discretion. *Daley v. Hett*, 113 Ill.2d 75, 495 N.E.2d 513 (1986). A writ of prohibition may be issued to prevent a judge from acting where he or she has no jurisdiction, or to prevent a judge from acting beyond his or her legitimate authority. *Daley v. Hett*, supra.

The court's supervisory authority is conferred by the Illinois Constitution, and is a general and comprehensive power to keep lower courts "within the bounds of their authority." *McDunn v. Williams*, 156 Ill.2d 288, 620 N.E.2d 385, 392 (1993). *McDunn* held that the court's supervisory authority is not limited by "any rules or means but is bounded only by the exigencies which call for its exercise." As "new instances of these occur, [supervisory authority] will be found able to cope with them." 620 N.E.2d at 394.

Case law concerning these remedies is contained in Section 9-3 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

5. Federal habeas corpus

Federal *habeas corpus* is available for a state prisoner when a federal constitutional violation makes custody, or its conditions, unlawful. Before a prisoner can file a federal *habeas corpus* petition, he must "exhaust state remedies." This is a term of art which means that the petitioner has raised the same issue in the highest state court that can provide an appropriate remedy. Federal *habeas corpus* petitions are filed in the federal district where the prisoner is incarcerated or from which he or she was sentenced.

Case law regarding federal *habeas corpus* is contained in Section 9-5 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

III.

PERFECTING CRIMINAL APPEALS

In most criminal cases, an appeal is perfected by filing a notice of appeal with the Clerk of the Circuit Court. Rule 606(a). Notable exceptions are guilty plea cases, and appeals concerning pretrial bail. These exceptions are discussed below.

A. NOTICE OF APPEAL

1. Time to file

The notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days of final judgment or, if a motion directed against that judgment is timely filed, within 30 days of the entry of the order disposing of that motion. Rule 606(b). A notice of appeal that is placed in the mail within 30 days of the final judgment is timely. *People v. White*, 333 Ill.App.3d 777, 776 N.E.2d 836 (3d Dist. 2003).

The final judgment in a criminal case is the sentence. *People v. Allen*, 71 Ill.2d 378, 375 N.E.2d 1283 (1978). Generally, the trial judge's oral pronouncement of sentence is the judicial act which constitutes the final judgment, even though a written order is filed later. *People v. Ervin*, 103 Ill.App.3d 465, 431 N.E.2d 453 (5th Dist. 1982).

Where an appeal is taken from a ruling on a motion "other than in the course of trial," on the other hand, the final judgment is the trial judge's written order, not the oral ruling. *People v. Jones*, 104 Ill.2d 268, 472 N.E.2d 455 (1984); *People v. Dylak*, 258 Ill.App.3d 141, 630 N.E.2d 164 (2d Dist. 1994).

2. Late notice of appeal

The reviewing court may grant leave to file a late notice of appeal, upon a motion filed within 30 days after expiration of the time for filing the notice of appeal and showing a "reasonable excuse" for failing to file a timely notice of appeal. The court may also allow a late notice of appeal upon a motion, filed within six months after expiration of the time for filing the notice of appeal, showing by affidavit that there is "merit to the appeal" and that the failure to file a timely notice of appeal was not due to appellant's "culpable negligence." Rule 606(c).

3. Form of

The form of a notice of appeal is set out at the Supreme Court Rules' Article VI Forms Appendix. Deficiencies in the contents of a notice of appeal do not deprive the trial court of jurisdiction if the notice fairly apprises the appellee of the notice of

appeal and no prejudice results. *People v. Clark*, 268 Ill.App.3d 810, 645 N.E.2d 590 (4th Dist. 1995).

A notice of appeal from a circuit court judgment holding a statute unconstitutional must include a copy of the trial court's order under Rule 18, which requires certain findings when a statute is held unconstitutional. Article VI Forms Appendix, Rule 606(d)(8).

The notice of appeal or petition for leave to appeal, docketing statement, briefs and all other notices, motions and pleadings filed by any party in relation to an appeal involving a delinquent minor case under the Juvenile Court Act shall include the following statement in bold type on the top of the front page: **THIS APPEAL INVOLVES A DELINQUENT MINOR PROCEEDING UNDER THE JUVENILE COURT ACT.** Rule 660A.

4. Trial court jurisdiction

The filing of a notice of appeal deprives the trial court of jurisdiction to modify the judgment or act on matters within the scope of the appeal. Once the notice of appeal is filed, the trial court may act only on "purely ministerial" matters that are "independent of, and collateral to, the judgment on appeal." *People v. Larry*, 144 Ill.App.3d 669, 494 N.E.2d 1212 (2d Dist. 1986); *People v. Circella*, 6 Ill.App.3d 214, 285 N.E.2d 254 (1st Dist. 1972). For example, the trial judge may not grant a new trial (*Daley v. Laurie*, 106 Ill.2d 33, 476 N.E.2d 419 (1985)), vacate a portion of the judgment (*People v. Long*, 55 Ill.App.3d 764, 370 N.E.2d 1315 (4th Dist. 1977)), or reduce or modify the sentence (*People ex rel. Carey v. Collins*, 81 Ill.2d 118, 405

N.E.2d 774 (1980)). However, the trial judge may correct the record to reflect the judgment that was actually entered. *People v. Latona*, 184 Ill.2d 260, 703 N.E.2d 901 (1998).

The absence of subject matter jurisdiction is not subject to waiver. Thus, the parties cannot confer jurisdiction by failing to raise an appropriate objection. *People v. Flowers*, 208 Ill.2d 291, 802 N.E.2d 1174 (2004).

Occasionally, both a notice of appeal and a post-judgment motion are filed. The Supreme Court has resolved this confusion by amending Rule 606(b) to provide:

When a timely post-trial or post-sentencing motion directed against the judgment has been filed by counsel or by defendant, if not represented by counsel, any notice of appeal filed before the entry of the order disposing of all pending post-judgment motions shall have no effect and shall be stricken by the trial court. . . This rule applies whether the timely post-judgment motion was filed before or after the date on which the notice of appeal was filed. A new notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days following the entry of the order disposing of all timely post-judgment motions. . . The clerk of the appellate court shall notify any party whose appeal has been dismissed under this rule.

Before the record on appeal is filed in the reviewing court, the trial judge may dismiss the appeal on motion of the appellant or by stipulation of the parties. Rules 309, 612(b)(1).

B. APPEALS FROM GUILTY PLEAS

Perfecting an appeal from a guilty plea depends on the type of plea involved. Under Rule 604(d), a defendant may appeal from a *non-negotiated* plea by filing, within 30 days of sentencing, a motion asking the trial court to reconsider the sentence (if only the

sentence is being challenged) or a motion to withdraw the plea of guilty and vacate the judgment (if the plea is being challenged).

The sentence on a *negotiated* plea may not be challenged as excessive unless, within 30 days of sentencing, defendant moves to withdraw the plea. A “negotiated” guilty plea is one in which the prosecution has bound itself to “recommend a specific sentence, or a specific range of sentence, or where the prosecution has made concessions relating to the sentence to be imposed and not merely to the charge or charges then pending.” An “excessive” sentence challenge includes an argument that the court relied on improper factors in aggravation. *People v. Johnson*, 2019 IL 122956.

The post-plea motion must be in writing, and if based on facts outside the record must be supported by affidavit or, if the defendant is filing the motion *pro se* from a correctional institution, by a certification as provided in 735 ILCS 5/1-109. Rule 604(d). If the motion is denied, the defendant has 30 days in which to file a notice of appeal. Rule 604(d).

A guilty plea defendant does not lose his right to appeal, even where he failed to file an appropriate motion, if the trial court gave erroneous admonishments concerning the right to appeal. In *People v. Diaz*, 192 Ill.2d 211, 735 N.e.2d 605, 249 Ill.Dec. 1 (2000), the trial court erroneously told defendant he could challenge the sentence by filing either a motion to withdraw the plea or a motion to reconsider the sentence. The cause was remanded so defendant could be properly admonished and given an opportunity to move to withdraw his plea. See also *People v. Flowers*, 208 Ill.2d 291, 802 N.E.2d 1174 (2004) (discussing the admonition exception).

Diaz also holds that even where a negotiated plea is involved, a motion to withdraw the plea is not required where the defendant argues that the sentence is unauthorized. *See also People v. Wilson*, 181 Ill.2d 409, 692 N.E.2d 1107, 229 Ill.Dec. 896 (1998). The same rule applies when the sentence is outside the range contemplated by the plea bargain, *People v. Herman*, 349 Ill.App.3d 107, 810 N.E.2d 647 (2d Dist. 2004); *People v. Renner*, 321 Ill.App.3d 1022, 748 N.E.2d 1272 (5th Dist. 2001), or when a defendant challenged the statute under which he was sentenced as facially unconstitutional and void ab initio. *People v. Guevara*, 216 Ill. 2d 533 (2005).

Rule 604(d) applies to juvenile adjudications based on admissions of guilt. *See In re J.W.*, 204 Ill.2d 50, 787 N.E.2d 747 (2003). Thus, a motion to withdraw the admission or reconsider the disposition is required before an appeal can be taken. *In re William M.*, 206 Ill.2d 595, 795 N.E.2d 269 (2003). The failure to file an appropriate motion in a juvenile case does not require dismissal of the appeal, however; the Appellate Court must remand the cause for “strict compliance with Rule 604(d).” *Id.*

By contrast with the situation concerning guilty pleas, the trial court’s failure to give required admonishments following a trial does not necessarily require a remand for proper admonishments. A remand is required only if the defendant asserts sentencing issues which he failed to preserve after receiving the faulty admonitions. *People v. Henderson*, 217 Ill.2d 449, 841 N.E.2d 87 (2005).

Furthermore, rather than remand for accurate admonishments and an opportunity to file post-sentencing motions, the Appellate Court may elect to reach

sentencing issues which were raised on appeal but not properly preserved. *People v. Medina*, 221 Ill.2d 394, 551 N.E.2d 1220 (2006).

C. STATE APPEALS

Under Rule 604(a), the State may appeal from an order or judgment which effectively dismisses a charge for any ground in 725 ILCS 5/114-1, arrests judgment due to a defective charges, quashes an arrest or search warrant, or suppresses evidence. See *People v. Young*, 82 Ill.2d 234, 412 N.E.2d 501 (1980); *People v. Flatt*, 82 Ill.2d 250, 412 N.E.2d 509 (1980). See *People v. Young*, 82 Ill.2d 234, 412 N.E.2d 501 (1980); *People v. Flatt*, 82 Ill.2d 250, 412 N.E.2d 509 (1980). There is no distinction between pretrial orders “excluding” and “suppressing” evidence; thus, whether the State may appeal does not depend on whether the trial judge’s ruling rests on a constitutional basis. *People v. Drum*, 194 Ill.2d 485, 743 N.E.2d 44 (2000). In *Drum*, an order denying the State’s motion *in limine* to present certain evidence had the substantive effect of barring use of the evidence at trial, and therefore could be appealed, “whether the order is characterized as ‘excluding’ the testimony or ‘suppressing’ it.” *Cf. In re K.E.F.*, 235 Ill. 2d 530 (2009) and *People v. Truitt*, 175 Ill. 2d 148 (1997) (evidence not “excluded” under the rule if the State has other means of presenting its substance before the trier-of-fact).

When the State appeals a suppression order, the prosecutor must file a certificate of impairment certifying that the order substantially impairs the State's ability to prosecute the case. This certificate is not a jurisdictional requirement and may be filed as a supplement to the record on appeal. *People v. Carlton*, 98 Ill.2d 187, 455 N.E.2d

1385 (1983). A certificate of impairment filed in good faith is not open to challenge on the ground that the State's ability to prosecute the case was not in fact impaired. *People v. Young*, 82 Ill.2d 234, 412 N.E.2d 501 (1980); *People v. Keith*, 148 Ill.2d 32, 591 N.E.2d 449 (1992).

A defendant may be incarcerated or held to bail during a State appeal only if there are compelling reasons for doing so. Rule 604(a)(3). Time during which a State appeal is pending is excluded from speedy trial calculations. Rule 604(a)(4).

Case law pertaining to State appeals is contained in Section 2-4 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

D. APPEALS CONCERNING BAIL

Under Rule 604(c), a defendant may appeal a bail order before a conviction occurs. A written motion stating the relief sought must first be filed in the trial court. This motion must contain information concerning the defendant's financial condition, residence, employment history, present occupation, family situation, prior criminal record and "other relevant facts." Rule 604(c)(1).

If the motion is denied in the trial court, an appeal may be taken by filing a verified motion for review in the Appellate Court. This motion must contain the motion filed in the trial court, a description of the trial court's order, the crimes charged, the amount and conditions of bail, an argument in support of the motion, and a statement of the relief sought. No briefs are permitted, and oral argument is allowed only when ordered by the court. Rule 604(c)(2), (5).

E. DOCKETING STATEMENT

Within 14 days after the notice of appeal is filed, the appellant must file a docketing statement with the clerk of the reviewing court. The form of the docketing statement is set out in the Article VI Forms Appendix. The docketing statement contains information such as the date the notice of appeal was filed, a description of the judgment appealed from, the present status of the defendant, the names and addresses of counsel and court reporters, and a general statement of the issues likely to be raised.

IV.

THE RECORD ON APPEAL

A. CONTENTS OF THE RECORD

The record on appeal has two parts: the "Common Law Record," (a reproduction of the clerk's file containing copies of the charging documents, written motions and orders, and the judgment), and the "Report of Proceedings," which is the transcript of the court reporters' minutes of the hearings in the case. "There is no distinction between the common law record and the report of proceedings, for the purpose of determining what is properly before the reviewing court." Rule 608(a).

Upon the filing of a notice of appeal, the clerk must prepare the record on appeal. The record must contain the following:

- (1) a certificate of the clerk showing the impaneling of the grand jury if the prosecution was commenced by indictment;
- (2) the indictment, information, or complaint;

- (3) a transcript of the proceedings at the defendant's arraignment and plea;
- (4) all motions, transcript of motion proceedings, and orders entered thereon;
- (5) all arrest warrants, search warrants, consent to search forms, eavesdropping orders, and any similar documents;
- (6) a transcript of proceedings regarding waiver of counsel and waiver of jury trial, if any;
- (7) the report of proceedings, including opening statements by counsel, testimony offered at trial, and objections thereto, offers of proof, arguments and rulings thereon, the instructions offered and given, and the objections and rulings thereon, closing argument of counsel, communications from the jury during deliberations, and responses and supplemental instructions to the jury and objections, arguments and rulings thereon; the court reporting personnel as defined in Rule 46 shall take the record of the proceedings regarding the selection of the jury, but the record need not be transcribed unless a party designates that such proceedings be included in the record on appeal;
- (8) exhibits offered at trial and sentencing, along with objections, offers of proof, arguments, and rulings thereon; except that physical and demonstrative evidence, other than photographs, which do not fit on a standard size record page shall not be included in the record on appeal unless ordered by a court upon motion of a party or upon the court's own motion;
- (9) the verdict of the jury or finding of the court;

- (10) post-trial motions, including motions for a new trial, motions in arrest of judgment, motions for judgment notwithstanding the verdict and the testimony, arguments and rulings thereon;
- (11) transcript(s) of proceedings at sentencing, including the presentence investigation report, testimony offered and objections thereto, offers of proof, argument, and rulings thereon, arguments of counsel, and statements by the defendant and the court;
- (12) the judgment and sentence; and
- (13) the notice of appeal, if any.

Within 14 days after the notice of appeal is filed either party may designate additional portions of the record to be included in the record on appeal. Rule 608(a). Upon motion by either party, the court may allow accurate photographs of exhibits to be filed in lieu of the exhibits themselves. Rule 608(a). This provision was added for non-photographic exhibits that are large, bulky or otherwise do not fit easily in the record on appeal. The exhibits themselves should be included "when they are relevant to the determination of an issue on appeal or needed for an understanding of the case." See Committee Comments, Rule 608.

B. FILING THE RECORD

In non-juvenile cases, the report of proceedings "shall" be filed in the trial court within 49 days after the notice of appeal is filed. Rule 608(b). The record on appeal "shall" be filed in the reviewing court within 63 days after the notice of appeal is filed.

Where there are multiple appellants, the trial court may allow the record on appeal to be filed in the reviewing court any time up to 63 days after the last notice of appeal was filed. If an extension of time has been granted for filing the report of proceedings in the trial court, the record "shall" be filed in the reviewing court within 14 days after the extended time expires. Rule 608(c).

The reviewing court may extend the time for filing the report of proceedings in the trial court. To obtain an extension, a motion showing "necessity" must be made before the due date, or within 35 days thereafter. Rule 608(d). If the motion is filed after the due date, it must show a reasonable excuse for failing to make an earlier request. Rule 608(d).

In a juvenile case, the record on appeal shall be filed in the appellate court no later than 35 days after the filing of the notice of appeal or granting of leave to appeal. Any request for extension of the time for filing shall be accompanied by an affidavit of the court clerk or court reporting personnel stating the reason for the delay, and shall be served on the trial judge and the chief judge of the circuit. Lack of advance payment shall not be a reason for noncompliance with filing deadlines for the record or transcript. Any subsequent request for an extension of time shall be made to the appellate court by written notice and motion to all parties in accordance with rules. Rule 660A(d). Requests for extensions of time are disfavored and shall be granted only for compelling circumstances. Rule 606A(g).

C. CORRECTING THE RECORD

The procedure for correcting the record on appeal is set out in Rule 329, which is made applicable to criminal cases by Rules 608(b) and 612(b)(7). See also *People v. Allen*, 109 Ill.2d 177, 486 N.E.2d 873 (1985); *People v. Chitwood*, 67 Ill.2d 443, 367 N.E.2d 1331 (1977); *People v. Vincent*, 165 Ill.App.3d 1023, 520 N.E.2d 913 (1st Dist. 1988). A party may request leave to supplement the record on appeal, but only with documents that were before the trial court. *People v. Patterson*, 192 Ill.2d 93, 735 N.E.2d 616 (2000). Documents that were not in the record or properly supplemented may not be included in a brief. See *People v. Williams*, 2012 IL App (1st) 100126, *People v. Gonzalez*, 268 Ill. App. 3d 224, 643 N.E.2d 1295 (1st Dist. 1994).

D. SUFFICIENCY OF THE RECORD

The appellant is responsible for presenting a sufficient record to allow the court to review any claim of error. Any doubts that arise from an incomplete record are usually resolved against the appellant. See *People v. Pecor*, 153 Ill.2d 109, 606 N.E.2d 1127 (1992); *People v. Ramos*, 295 Ill.App.3d 522, 692 N.E.2d 781 (1st Dist. 1998).

Due process is not violated merely because no court reporter is present to take notes of *voir dire*. *People v. Culbreath*, 343 Ill.App.3d 998, 798 N.E.2d 1268 (4th Dist. 2003). Because the defendant can obtain a bystander's report or agreed statement of facts, there is an adequate opportunity to provide a sufficient record to resolve *voir dire* issues. *People v. Houston*, 363 Ill.App.3d 567, 843 N.E.2d 465 (3d

Dist. 2006). A defendant's claim that his counsel was ineffective for failing to object to the absence of a court reporter at *voir dire*, coupled with a claim of improper jury selection, including racially discriminatory challenges, may result in a remand for reconstruction of the record. *People v. Houston*, 226 Ill. 2d 135, 874 N.E.2d 23 (2007). Moreover, the presumption normally taken against the appellant from an incomplete record does not apply where a bystander's report is submitted in lieu of a complete record. *People v. Majka*, 365 Ill.App.3d 362, 849 N.E.2d 428 (2d Dist. 2006).

Furthermore, where an indigent defendant makes a colorable showing that a complete transcript is necessary to resolve an issue, the State has the burden to show that a bystander's report is a satisfactory substitute. *Id.*

V.

READING THE RECORD & FINDING THE ISSUES

A. PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS

Probably the most difficult step in the brief writing process is the discovery and development of the issues. In order to correctly determine whether potential issues exist, the appellate lawyer must have knowledge of two things - the facts and the applicable law. The facts, of course, are discovered by reading the complete record. The law is discovered by research.

A lawyer's knowledge of the law can be divided into two parts. First is general knowledge of criminal law, procedure, evidence, constitutional principles, etc. A broad general knowledge greatly assists the appellate lawyer in quickly and accurately

determining, as he or she reads the record, what possible errors exist.

The second type of legal knowledge is that acquired by research after the lawyer reads the record and notes all potential errors. Because broad general knowledge will usually save valuable time in finding and rejecting issues and in doing research, an important step in the issue-spotting process is to diligently attempt to increase one's overall legal knowledge.

As with most aspects of appellate work, there is no single, "best" method for reading the record. There are, however, certain principles and guidelines that should be followed. The record should be read very carefully and with great concentration. Nothing in the record should be overlooked, and the record must be read accurately. Be sure you know exactly what occurred and what was said. If you overlook or misunderstand something, you may miss a winning issue.

It is also important to make detailed notes while reading the record. Good notes make it much easier to review possible issues, connect possible errors, and find things in the record. Additionally, good notes will save time in writing the statement of facts. Your notes should contain a brief summary or notation about all important matters in the record such as motions, objections, testimony, arguments and rulings. A citation to the pertinent pages of the record must be included.

You should develop a method of note taking that ensures thorough examination of both the facts and the potential issues. One method is to use two sets of notes - one to list the motions, testimony, arguments, and other relevant events that occurred in the lower court (with citations to the appropriate volume and page of the record), and the second to

set out potential issues or thoughts you may have about potential strategies for the appeal. Alternatively, you may decide to use only one set of notes and "flag" possible issues for later examination. Whatever method of note taking is used, all relevant material from the record must be organized so you can find it, and all potential issues must be marked and thoroughly analyzed before being either discarded or raised on appeal.

In reading the record, it is important to ask over and over, page by page, line by line, "Is that error?" There are four possible answers to this basic question, and you *must* give one of these answers to virtually every occurrence in the record. The possible answers are:

1. Yes.
2. No.
3. I don't know.
4. It doesn't matter.

A lawyer with a great deal of general legal knowledge may answer "I don't know" sparingly; a lawyer without such broad knowledge will likely answer "I don't know" frequently. If you find yourself frequently answering "I don't know," you may need to work harder at learning the law.

"It doesn't matter" should be used when a possibly improper occurrence is wholly insignificant in regard to a fair trial. For example, leading questions that are unimportant as to guilt or innocence likely had no impact on the trial and are not potential issues. The lawyer must be certain before answering "no" or "it doesn't

matter," because those answers mean that a potential issue will not be raised. If there is any doubt about whether something is error, you should answer "yes" or "I don't know." A "yes" answer, if it stands after research, turns into an issue on appeal. An "I don't know" answer must be researched and a conclusive answer found before the final issues are determined.

B. WHERE TO BEGIN

There is no best way to begin. Some experienced lawyers prefer to read the closing arguments first to get an overview of the case; others prefer to read the post-trial motions first; others prefer to talk with trial counsel or the client first. Use whatever starting point you prefer, but be certain to carefully read the entire record. The authors prefer to read the record in the following manner. First, read the charging document or documents. Then go to the verdicts or findings and determine what convictions were entered. Then examine the statute and list the elements which must be proved for the offense(s) of which the defendant was convicted.

If the elements are not sufficiently clear after reading the statute, research the relevant cases that explain those elements. This procedure is important because you should anticipate in every case that the evidence may have been insufficient to prove the essential elements. In addition, knowing the elements of the offenses you will allow you to determine the relevancy and prejudicial effect of the evidence and arguments. After completing the above steps, read the complete record from beginning to end.

1. What to look for - Where to look

Simply stated, the appellate lawyer should look everywhere in the record for

possible errors:

- (a) You should be alert for the possibility of erroneous conduct or statements by the prosecutor or judge, and for the possibility of ineffective assistance of counsel. Although such issues may not occur often, little things do add up, so look for them from beginning to end.
- (b) Certain events should "red flag" possible error. Be alert for defense objections that are overruled, prosecution objections that are sustained, and defense motions that are denied.
- (c) Use your "gut reaction." If something doesn't seem correct or fair, maybe it wasn't.
- (d) Remember that an appellate advocate has an overview of the case. For example, trial counsel may not object to the first preliminary questions relating to "other crimes," but repeated questions on this subject could be gathered into a very powerful point on appeal.
- (e) Get involved. If you can't convince yourself, it will be difficult to convince others.
- (f) Watch for unusual occurrences. These often create error because the judge and lawyers may not know how to deal with the problem.
- (g) Watch the behavior of trial counsel. Through objections, motions to strike, and motions for mistrial, counsel is attempting to "preserve" for appeal what he or she perceives as error. Another method of doing this is to check written post-trial motions to learn the grounds on which trial counsel argued for a new trial.

It may also be beneficial to talk with trial counsel, because he or she may be able to point out issues which should be raised on appeal. It may be better to talk with trial counsel after you have read the record and are familiar with what occurred at trial. Before reading the record you can only listen, but after you are familiar with the case you can ask questions and engage in a more useful conversation.

(h) You should talk with your client. Although not an attorney, he or she was there during trial and may shed light on possible issues.

VI.

BRIEF RULES

A. GENERALLY

The format for briefs is set out by Rules 341, 342, 343, 607, and 612. Every appellate lawyer must know the requirements of the Rules and ensure that every brief complies with the Rules. The reviewing court has inherent authority to dismiss an appeal where the appellant's brief fails to comply with the applicable Supreme Court Rules. See *People v. Wrobel*, 266 Ill.App.3d 761, 641 N.E.2d 16 (1st Dist. 1994); *People v. Webb*, 267 Ill.App.3d 954, 642 N.E.2d 871 (1st Dist. 1994).

1. Appellant's Brief

In all appeals, the appellant's brief must contain the following items, in the order listed:

- (a) a white cover;
- (b) points and authorities;

- (c) a statement of the nature of the case;
- (d) a statement of the issues presented for review;
- (e) a concise statement of the applicable standards of review;
- (f) a statement of jurisdiction;
- (g) if statutory questions are at issue, a statement of the pertinent portions of the statutes involved;
- (h) a statement of facts;
- (i) the argument;
- (j) a conclusion stating the precise relief sought;
- (k) the names of counsel as on the cover;
- (l) counsel's certification that the brief complies with the form and length requirements of Rule 341; and
- (m) an appendix. (See Rules 341(c), (d), (h), 342).

2. Appellee's Brief

The appellee's brief must contain the following items, in the order listed:

- (a) a light blue cover;
- (b) points and authorities;
- (c) the argument;
- (d) a conclusion stating the precise relief sought; and
- (e) the names of counsel as on the cover. (See Rule 341(d), (i)).

Counsel must also certify that the brief complies with the form and length requirements of Rule 341. Rule 341(c). To the extent that the appellant's presentation

is deemed unsatisfactory, the appellee's brief may include the remaining items required for the appellant's brief. Rule 341(i).

3. Reply Brief

A reply brief, if any, must be "confined strictly to replying to arguments presented in the brief of the appellee." Rule 341(j). A reply brief is required to include only a light yellow cover, argument, and counsel's certification that the brief complies with the form and length requirements of Rule 341. (See Rule 341(c), (d), (j)).

4. Time for Filing Briefs

Unless otherwise ordered by the reviewing court, the appellant's brief in a non-juvenile case is due 35 days after the record is filed. The appellee's brief must be filed within 35 days from the due date of the appellant's brief. The reply brief must be filed within 14 days from the due date of the appellee's brief. Rules 343(a), 612(b)(10). For the time periods applicable to cross-appeals and separate appeals, see Rule 343(b). In a juvenile case, unless otherwise ordered by the appellate court, the brief of the appellant shall be filed in the reviewing court within 28 days from the filing of the record on appeal. Within 28 days from the due date of the appellant's brief, the appellee shall file a brief in the reviewing court. Within 7 days from the due date of the appellee's brief, the appellant may file a reply brief in the reviewing court. Rule 660A.

Upon a motion showing good cause, or *sua sponte*, the reviewing court or a judge thereof may extend or shorten the time for filing a brief. Rule 343(c). However, Rule 610 states that "motions for extension of time are looked upon with disfavor." Motions for extension of time must be supported by affidavit or verification and show:

- (a) the date counsel was appointed;
- (b) the number of days requested and granted on each of the previous motions for extension of time, and the total number of days granted on all previous motions for extension
- (c) the total number of days requested and granted on extension motions filed by other parties;
- (d) the details of the case, including the offenses of which defendant was convicted, whether bench or jury trial, length of sentence, date of sentencing, date on which the complete record was filed, length of the record on appeal, and whether defendant is currently incarcerated and his or her projected release date;
- (e) the reasons for the requested extension and “counsel’s realistic expectation of the length of time needed to prepare and file the brief.” Rules 610, 343(c).

Before filing an extension motion, counsel should confer with opposing counsel to determine whether there is any objection to the request for an extension and shall indicate such in the motion.

Although the requirements for motions filed in the Supreme Court and Appellate Court are set out in Rules 361 and 610, motion practice regarding extensions of time varies widely between appellate districts. Attorneys should discuss the situation with an experienced appellate lawyer before moving for an extension of time.

5. Form of Briefs; Number of Copies; Service

Under amendments to the Supreme Court Rules which took effect in September of 2006, briefs must be produced on 8^{1/2} by 11 paper with margins of 1^{1/2} inches on the left side and one inch on the right side. The text must be double-spaced, although headings may be single-spaced. Only one side of the page may be used, and the document must be securely bound on the left side. Briefs may be produced by using a word-processing system, and need not be commercially printed. Rules 341(a), 612(b)(9). A minimum font size of 12-point is required, and condensed type is prohibited. Rule 341(a).

Electronically filed briefs shall be considered the official original. A court of review may, in its electronic filing procedures, require duplicate paper copies bearing the court's electronic file stamp. Such copies shall be printed one-sided and securely bound on the left side in a manner that does not obstruct the text. Such copies shall be received by the clerk within five days of the electronic notification generated upon acceptance of an electronically filed document. The brief shall be served upon each other party to the appeal represented by separate counsel. Proof of service shall be filed with all briefs. Rule 341(e).

Where the defendant is represented by court-appointed counsel, and the Appellate Court's e-filing policy requires paper copies, at least six copies of briefs must be provided. Rule 607(d).

A proof of service must be filed with all briefs. Rules 341(e), 612(i). Proof of service may be by any of the means provided in Rule 12(b).

6. Electronic Format

All documents must be electronically filed with the clerk of court using eFileIL. Pro se litigants may be exempted from e-filing for good cause if they 1) do not have internet or computer access at home, 2) have a disability that keeps you from e-filing, or 3) have trouble reading or speaking in English. Rule 9(c)(4).

7. Page Limitations

Appellant's and appellee's briefs may not exceed 50 pages each (or 15,000 words), excluding those matters required to be in the Appendix. The reply brief may not exceed 20 pages (or 6,000 words). Rules 341(b)(1), 612(b)(9).

The reviewing court may allow briefs that exceed these page limitations. A motion to allow the filing of a brief in excess of the page limitation must be filed not less than 10 days (five days for reply briefs) before the brief is due, and must state the maximum number of pages requested. Rule 341(b)(2). However, motions to exceed the page limitations "are not favored," and the specific grounds establishing the necessity for the excess pages must be stated. Rules 341(b)(2), 612(b)(9).

It is essential that counsel comply with the requirements governing the form and length of briefs, because clerks of reviewing courts have discretion to refuse briefs which violate those requirements. (See Miscellaneous Record 20959, May 24, 2006).

8. References to Parties

The parties are to be referenced by the terms that would be used in the trial court, not as "appellant," "appellee," "petitioner," or "respondent." Other persons involved in the case may be referred to by name or by descriptive terms such as "complainant," "the

deceased," or "the police officer." Rules 341(f), 612(b)(9).

Juveniles and persons subject to mental health proceedings are to be denoted by their first name and last initial. First and last initials are to be used only if use of the first name would create a substantial risk of revealing the individual's identity. Rule 341(f).

9. Footnotes

Rule 341(a) states that footnotes are "discouraged."

10. Citations

The citation of legal authority in State Appellate Defender briefs should comply with the suggestions below, which are based on Rule 6, the Uniform System of Citation and citation form used by the Illinois Supreme Court.

(a) Illinois cases

Rule 6 provides:

Citation of Illinois cases filed prior to July 1, 2011, and published in the Illinois Official Reports shall be to the Official Reports, but the citation to the North Eastern Reporter and/or the Illinois Decisions may be added. For Illinois cases filed on or after July 1, 2011, and for any case not published in the Illinois Official Reports prior to that date and for which a public-domain citation has been assigned, the public-domain citation shall be given and, where appropriate, pinpoint citations to paragraph numbers shall be given; a citation to the North Eastern Reporter and/or the Illinois Decisions may be added but is not required. Citation of cases from other jurisdictions that do not utilize a public-domain

citation shall include the date and may be to either the official state reports or the National Reporter System, or both. If only the National Reporter System citation is used, the court rendering the decision shall also be identified. For other jurisdictions that have adopted a public-domain system of citation, that citation shall be given along with, where appropriate, pinpoint citations to paragraph numbers; a parallel citation to an additional case reporter may be given but is not required. Textbook citations shall include the date of publication and the edition. Illinois statutes shall generally be cited to the Illinois Compiled Statutes (ILCS) but citations to the session laws of Illinois or to the Illinois Revised Statutes shall be made when appropriate.

(b) Example citations of Illinois cases

(1) Reported cases

When quoting from a case or relying on a case for a particular principle (unless the case contains only a single principle), you must cite to the page or pages on which the pertinent matter appears. Rule 6. The pertinent page cites may be made to the official reports, the North Eastern Reporter or both. [Note: While this Handbook has both bolded and italicized case names for clarity, citations in OSAD briefs use italics only, not bold.]

People v. Murray, 2019 IL 123289, ¶ 5

People v. Simms, 121 Ill.2d 259, 273, 520 N.E.2d 308, 314 (1988)

People ex rel. Foreman v. Nash, 118 Ill.2d 90, 514 N.E.2d 180, 182 (1987)

Wilson v. Clark, 84 Ill.2d 186, 190, 417 N.E.2d 1322 (1981), cert. denied, 454 U.S. 836, 102 S.Ct. 140, 70 L.Ed.2d 117 (1981)

People v. Cruz, 2019 IL App (1st) 170886, ¶ 9

People v. Taylor, 165 Ill.App.3d 1016, 520 N.E.2d 907, 912-913 (1st Dist. 1988)

In re D.P., 165 Ill.App.3d 346, 348, 519 N.E.2d 32, 24 (4th Dist. 1988)

People v. Carlyle, 159 Ill.App.3d 964, 967, 513 N.E.2d 61 (1st Dist. 1987), appeal denied 116 Ill.2d 564 (1987)

People v. Bryant, 165 Ill.App. 3d 996, 520 N.E.2d 890, 892-893 (1st Dist. 1988), appeal allowed 121 Ill.2d 574 (1988)

(2) Cases not yet reported

Since the 2011 switch from Illinois Official Reports to the use of public domain citations, full citations to Illinois opinions are available immediately. Some other jurisdictions that have not adopted a public-domain system of citation might require adjusted citations for opinions not yet reported, particularly recent United State Supreme Court cases, as outlined on page 38, *infra*.

(3) Subsequent citations

If you refer to a case more than once in the same section of the brief, or when it is otherwise not confusing, you need not repeat the entire citation.

Carrizoza, 2018 IL App (3d) 160051, ¶ 16

Weaver, 92 Ill.2d at 547

If the subsequent cite immediately follows a previous citation, *Id.*, may be used. If the citation refers to a different page or paragraph, a pinpoint citation must also be used.

Id.

Id. ¶ 22

Id. at 6

(c) Cases from other jurisdictions

Rule 6 requires that citations to jurisdictions with a public domain system of citation should use that citation along with pinpoint citations where appropriate. Parallel citations to a reporter are optional. If the other jurisdiction does not use public domain citations, the citation should be either to the official state reports or National Reporter System, or both. If only the latter is used, identify the court that rendered the

(1) United State Supreme Court

Citations must include the official (U.S) reports and should include the unofficial reports (S. Ct. and L. Ed.) as well. If a case is not yet published in the official reports, citation to either or both of the unofficial reports is sufficient. If a case is not yet published in any reports, a citation to United States Law Week (U.S.L.W.) or Criminal Law Reporter (CrL) is sufficient. In the alternative, citation may be established by electronic database (*i.e.*, Westlaw or Lexis), and the opinion placed in an Appendix to the brief.

Lee v. Illinois, 476 U.S. 530, 541, 90 L.Ed.2d 514, 106 S.Ct. 2056 (1986)

Griffith v. Kentucky, 479 U.S. 314, 93 L.Ed.2d 649, 652, 107 S.Ct. 708(1987)

Powell v. Nevada, ___ U.S. ___, 128 L.Ed.2d 1, 11, 114 S.Ct. 1280 (1994)

Wilson v. Arkansas, ___ U.S. ___ (No. 94-5705, May 22, 1995), 57 CrL 2122 (May 24, 1995)

Cunningham v. California, ___ U.S. ___, 207 U.S. Lexis 1324 (No. 05-6551, Jan. 22, 2007)

(2) Other Federal Courts

United States ex rel. Duncan v. O'Leary, 806 F.2d 1307, 1315 (7th Cir. 1986)

United States v. Schmuck, 840 F.2d 384, 386 (7th Cir. 1987) (en banc), cert. granted, 486 U.S. 1004, 100 L.Ed.2d 192, 108 S.Ct. 1727 (1988)

United States v. Jacobs, 431 F.2d 754 (2d Cir. 1970), cert. denied, 402 U.S. 950, 91 S.Ct. 1613, 29 L.Ed.2d 120 (1971)

Gaines v. Thieret, 665 F.Supp. 1342, 1348 (N.D. Ill. 1987)

Taylor v. United States, 385 F.Supp. 1034, 1036 (N.D. Ill. 1974), rev'd on other grounds, 528 F.2d 60 (7th Cir. 1976)

Sigmond v. Brown, 645 F.Supp. 243, 246-47 (C.D. Cal. 1986), aff'd, 828 F.2d 8 (9th Cir. 1987)

(3) Other States

Cases from other states without a public-domain system should be cited to the official reports, the National Reporter, or both. The citation must include the date and the court rendering the decision. If the name of the report (*i.e.* Cal. 3d) is the same as the name of the state, it is presumed that the decision was by the State's highest court.

Hovey v. Superior Court, 28 Cal.3d 1, 616 P.2d 1301, 1308 (1980)

State v. Bowling, 151 Ariz. 230, 726 P.2d 1099, 1101 (1986)

People v. Anthony, 204 N.W.2d 289, 834 (Iowa, 1973) *Hart v. Hart*, 539 S.W.2d 679, 682 (Mo.App. 1976)

People v. Ulrich, 268 N.W.2d 269, 271 (Mich.App. 1978)

(d) Constitutions, Statutes, Court Rules

U.S. Const., art I, §9

U.S. Const., amend IV

Ill. Const. 1970, art. I, §11

725 ILCS 5/112-4¹

Public Act 84-1450

18 U.S.C. sec. 1005

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 604(a)

(e) Electronic Citations

Court opinions are posted to electronic databases, such as the Illinois courts' own website, Westlaw, or Lexis-Nexis, as soon as practicable after filing. However, following issuance of a slip opinion there are several actions the court might take (such as withdrawing the opinion, modifying the opinion, allowing rehearing, etc.) that would affect the precedential value of that opinion. Therefore, for recent decisions it is important to verify the status of any opinion found on an electronic database to determine whether the court has taken final action on the case and has released the opinion for publication.

Other electronic citations should include the URL of the website on which the material was found, preceded by a reference that will generally look similar to a print citation of an article.

Steven Lee Myers, **Despite Rights Concerns, U.S. Plans to Resume Egypt Aid**, *The New York Times* (March 15, 2012),

<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/03/16/world/middleeast/us-military-aid-to-egypt-to-resume-officials-say.html? r=1&hp>.

¹ Because 25 ILCS 135/5.04 provides that the Illinois Compiled Statutes are an official compilation of Illinois laws, use of the publisher's name (*i.e.*, 1994 State Bar Edition) is optional.

(f) Books

Citations to books should include the volume number (if more than one), author, title, section or paragraph and/or pages, edition and date of publication.

4 W. LaFave, **Search and Seizure**, sec. 11-6(b) (2d ed. 1987)

E. Cleary and M. Graham, **Handbook of Illinois Evidence**, sec. 611.14 (6th ed. 1994)

Illinois Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal, No.7.01A (3d ed. 1992)

McCormick on Evidence, sec. 37, at 121 (4th ed. 1992)

Blacks Law Dictionary 12 (4th ed. 1957) Annot.

12 **A.L.R.** 2d 382 (1950)

(g) Law Review Articles

Amsterdam, "*Perspectives on the Fourth Amendment*," 58 **Minn.L.Rev.** 349 (1974)

Winick, "*Prosecutorial Peremptory Challenges in Capital Cases: An Empirical Study and a Constitutional Analysis*," 81 **Mich.L.Rev.** 1 (1982)

Note, *Expert Legal Testimony*, 97 **Harv.L.Rev.** 797, 811812 (1984)

Note, *The Constitutionality of Warrantless Home Arrests*, 78 **Colum.L.Rev.** 1550 (1978)

Comment, *Hearsay Witnesses' Prior Statements and Criminal Justice in Illinois*, 1974 **U.Ill.L.F.** 675

Comment, *Effective Assistance of Counsel: Strickland and the Illinois Death Penalty Statute*, 1987 **U.Ill.L.Rev.** 131, 154-155

B. THE COVER OF THE BRIEF

The cover must contain:

- (1) the number of the case in the reviewing court;

- (2) the name of the reviewing court;
- (3) the name of the court from which the case is brought;
- (4) the title of the case as it appeared in the lower court;
- (5) the status of the parties in the trial and reviewing courts (*i.e.*, “defendant-appellant”);
- (6) the name of the trial judge who entered the judgement; and
- (7) the name and address of the attorney filing the brief. Rules 341(d), 612(b)(9).

Additionally, if oral argument is desired, the appropriate request must be stated at the bottom of the cover. Rule 352(a).

The color of the cover must be as follows:

- appellant's brief or petition, white;
- appellee's brief or answer, light blue;
- appellant's reply brief, light yellow;
- appellee's reply brief, light red;
- petition for rehearing, light green;
- answer to petition for rehearing, tan; and
- reply on rehearing, orange.

If a separate appendix is used, it must have the same color cover as the brief it accompanies. Rule 341(d).

Sample brief covers are set forth on the following pages.

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

NO.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,) Appeal from the Appellate
) Court of Illinois, _____
Plaintiff-Appellant,) Judicial District, No.
) _____
vs.) _____
) There heard on appeal from
JOHN DOE,) the Circuit Court of the
) _____ Judicial Circuit,
Defendant-Appellee.) _____ County
) Honorable _____,
) Judge Presiding.

BRIEF AND ARGUMENT FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLEE

Deputy Defender _____

Assistant Defender _____
Office of the State Appellate Defender _____

(Telephone) _____

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLEE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

C. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The first section of every brief (both appellant and appellee) must contain a listing of "Points" and "Authorities." Rules 341(h), 612(b)(9). The "Point" is the argumentative heading which begins each argument in the brief. The "Authorities" are the court decisions and other precedent on which you rely, or which you distinguish, in your argument. Court decisions must be listed "as near as may be in the order of their importance." Rule 341(h). In addition, the page number of the brief on which each point and authority appears must be listed. Rule 341(h).

It is extremely important that some authority be cited in support of each point or argument. Rule 341(h) requires a party to not only offer argument on the issue, but also to support that argument with some citation of authority. *People v. Franklin*, 167 Ill.2d 1, 656 N.E.2d 750 (1995); *People v. Patterson*, 154 Ill.2d 414, 610 N.E.2d 16 (1992). Reviewing courts have refused to consider arguments for which no authority was cited. See *People ex rel. Aldworth v. Dutkanych*, 112 Ill.2d 505, 511, 493 N.E.2d 1037 (1986); *People v. Felella*, 131 Ill. 2d 525, 546 N.E.2d 492 (1989). On the other hand, citation of multiple authorities in support of the same point (chain-citing) "is not favored." Rule 341(h)(7). Counsel should also be aware that Appellate Court opinions issued before 1935 are not regarded as precedential. *People v. Glisson*, 202 Ill.2d 499, 782 N.E.2d 251 (2002).

It is important to remember that the formation of the heading, or point, often controls the answer. Choose your language carefully so that the "Point" is clear, complete, and forceful. Each "Point" should be as concise and specific as possible, and

should state a conclusion based upon the application of the law to the facts of the case. Generally, the "Point" should tell the reviewing court what happened or why error was committed.

In some briefs it may be necessary to make the "Point" long and detailed; in others, a short, simple or conclusionary "Point" is sufficient. The wording of the "Point" depends largely on the facts of the case, the nature of the issue and your strategy on appeal. The phrasing of the "Points" will often be similar to that used for the "Issues" section of the brief.

The following are examples of "Points" that are poorly drafted because they fail to tell the court what happened or why error was committed:

- (1) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PROVED GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT (compare with examples (1) through (4) below)
- (2) THE TRIAL JUDGE ERRED IN ALLOWING THE STATE TO PRESENT IMPROPER EVIDENCE (compare with examples (5), (6), and (7) below)
- (3) THE TRIAL JUDGE ERRED IN PROHIBITING THE DEFENDANT FROM PRESENTING CERTAIN EVIDENCE (compare with examples (8) and (9) below)
- (4) THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE WAS IMPROPERLY DENIED (compare with examples (10) and (11) below)
- (5) DEFENDANT'S SENTENCE IS EXCESSIVE (compare with examples (12) and (13) below)

The following are examples of well written "Points":

- (1) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PROVED GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT BECAUSE HIS CORROBORATED ALIBI WAS CONTRADICTED ONLY BY AN IDENTIFICATION THAT WAS DOUBTFUL AND UNCERTAIN DUE TO INTEREST, CONFLICT AND MATERIAL IMPEACHMENT.
- (2) THE STATE FAILED TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT

DEFENDANT WAS GUILTY OF AGGRAVATED BATTERY WHERE THE DEFENDANT'S UNCONTRADICTED AND UNREBUTTED TESTIMONY ESTABLISHED THAT HE ACTED IN SELF-DEFENSE.

- (3) THE STATE DID NOT PROVE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF ARMED ROBBERY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WHERE THE ONLY WITNESS WHO CLAIMED A POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION WAS CONTRADICTED BY OTHER STATE TESTIMONY, WHERE OTHER OCCURRENCE WITNESSES WHO SAW THE DEFENDANT PRIOR TO THE CRIME TESTIFIED THAT DEFENDANT WAS NOT ONE OF THE ROBBERS AND WHERE THE DEFENDANT PRESENTED A CLEAR AND CONVINCING ALIBI DEFENSE.
- (4) DEFENDANT WAS NOT PROVED GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WHERE THE TESTIMONY OF THE COMPLAINING WITNESS RESULTED FROM INSUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE AND WAS SERIOUSLY INCONSISTENT WITH HER INITIAL DESCRIPTION OF HER ASSAILANT, AND WHERE SHE HAD BEEN UNABLE TO IDENTIFY DEFENDANT AT THE FIRST LINEUP.
- (5) THE DEFENDANT WAS DENIED A FAIR TRIAL BECAUSE EVIDENCE THAT HE HAD STOLEN A CAR AND LEFT THE STATE EIGHTEEN HOURS AFTER THE OFFENSE ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED WAS INTRODUCED AS "EVIDENCE OF FLIGHT," BUT THE STATE DID NOT SHOW THAT THE DEFENDANT KNEW HE WAS WANTED BY THE POLICE.
- (6) THE TRIAL COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION AND DEPRIVED THE DEFENDANT OF A FAIR TRIAL BY ALLOWING THE DEFENDANT TO BE IMPEACHED WITH ELEVEN PRIOR CONVICTIONS, INCLUDING FIVE WHICH WERE THE SAME AS OR SIMILAR TO THE OFFENSE IN THE INSTANT CASE.
- (7) THE ADMISSION OF THE CO-DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT IMPLICATING THE DEFENDANT WAS ERROR BECAUSE IT CONSTITUTED HEARSAY UNDER ILLINOIS LAW AND DENIED THE DEFENDANT THE RIGHT OF CONFRONTATION UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.
- (8) THE COURT ERRED IN PROHIBITING THE DEFENSE FROM QUESTIONING THE STATE'S CHIEF WITNESS AS TO PENDING CRIMINAL CHARGES IN ORDER TO SHOW POSSIBLE BIAS ON THE PART OF THE WITNESS.
- (9) THE TRIAL COURT ERRED BY PRECLUDING THE DEFENSE FROM

PRESENTING EVIDENCE OF THE DECEASED'S REPUTATION FOR VIOLENCE, WHERE SUCH EVIDENCE WAS HIGHLY RELEVANT TO THE CLAIM OF SELF-DEFENSE.

- (10) THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DENYING THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH THE ARREST WHERE A WARRANTLESS ARREST WAS MADE INSIDE THE DEFENDANT'S HOME AND THERE WERE NO EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES.
- (11) THE WARRANT TO SEARCH DEFENDANT'S RESIDENCE WAS IMPROPERLY GRANTED, AND THE EVIDENCE OBTAINED IN THE EXECUTION OF THE WARRANT SHOULD HAVE BEEN SUPPRESSED.
 - (A) THE AFFIDAVIT FOR THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS DEFECTIVE IN THAT IT FAILED TO STATE ANY OF THE UNDERLYING CIRCUMSTANCES ON WHICH THE INFORMANT, AND THROUGH HIM THE AFFIANT, BASED HIS CONCLUSION THAT DEFENDANT WAS INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY.
 - (B) THE AFFIDAVIT FOR THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS DEFECTIVE AS IT FAILED TO SUPPLY THE ISSUING JUDGE WITH THE UNDERLYING CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH THE INFORMANT'S CREDIBILITY.
 - (C) THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS IMPROPERLY GRANTED BECAUSE THE CONTENTS OF THE SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT WERE NOT SWORN TO UNDER OATH BY THE AFFIANT.
- (12) THE THIRTY-YEAR SENTENCE FOR MURDER IS EXCESSIVE WHERE DEFENDANT WAS EIGHTEEN YEARS OLD AND HAD NO PRIOR RECORD, AND THERE WAS STRONG EVIDENCE THAT HE ACTED IN SELF-DEFENSE.
- (13) A TWENTY-SIX-YEAR SENTENCE WAS EXCESSIVE WHERE THE CO-DEFENDANT RECEIVED JUST SIX YEARS IMPRISONMENT, BOTH DEFENDANTS WERE ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN THE OFFENSE , AND BOTH HAD SIMILAR PRIOR RECORDS.

The following are examples of the format to be used for "Points and Authorities."

EXAMPLE 1

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

DEFENDANT WAS DENIED A FAIR TRIAL WHERE THE TRIAL JUDGE SUMMARILY AND ARBITRARILY DENIED DEFENSE COUNSEL'S REQUEST TO PRESENT A BRIEF ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF A MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT 10

(1) THE RULING WAS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION WHICH INFRINGED ON THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO RECEIVE THE FULL AND EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 10

Herring v. New York, 422 U.S. 853, 45 L.Ed.2d 593, 95 S.Ct. 2440 (1975)..... 11,12

Geders v. United States, 425 U.S. 80, 47 L.Ed.2d 592, 96 S.Ct.1330 (1976) 11, 12

Powell v. Alabama, 287 U.S. 45, 77 L.Ed. 158, 53 S.Ct. 555 (1932)..... 11,12

Hamilton v. Alabama, 638 U.S. 52, 82 S.Ct. 157, 7 L.Ed.2d 114 (1961)..... 13

People v. Noble, 42 Ill.2d 425, 248 N.E.2d 96 (1969)..... 19

People v. Nichols, 63 Ill.2d 425, 248 N.E.2d 96 (1969)..... 19

People v. Chestnut, 15 Ill.App.3d 188, 303 N.E.2d 440 (3d Dist. 1973) 13,14

725 ILCS 5/115-4(k)..... 14

Supreme Court Rule 604(d)..... 17

(2) THIS RULING WAS ALSO AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THAT UNDERMINED THE TRADITIONAL FUNCTIONING OF THE ADVERSARIAL PROCESS 20

Herring v. New York, 422 U.S. 853, 45 L.Ed.2d 593, 95 S.Ct. 2550 (1975)..... 20,21

EXAMPLE 2

**I
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I DEFENDANT WAS NOT PROVED GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WHERE THE TESTIMONY OF THE COMPLAINING WITNESS RESULTED FROM INSUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE AND WAS SERIOUSLY INCONSISTENT WITH HER INITIAL DESCRIPTION OF HER ASSAILANT, AND WHERE SHE FAILED TO IDENTIFY DEFENDANT AT THE FIRST LINEUP OR PICK OUT HIS PHOTOGRAPHS FROM "MUG SHOT" BOOKS. 18

People v. Charleston, 47 Ill.2d 19, 264 N.E.2d 199 (1970) 18

People v. Reese, 14 Ill.App.3d 1049, 303 N.E.2d 814 (1st Dist. 1973) 22

People v. Martin, 95 Ill.App.3d 457, 238 N.E.2d 205 (1st Dist. 1968) 23

People v. Marshall, 74 Ill.App.2d 483, 221 N.E.2d 133 (1st Dist. 1966) 24

II THE CONVICTION FOR CRIMINAL SEXUAL ASSAULT MUST BE REVERSED BECAUSE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF PENETRATION, A NECESSARY ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSE. 25

People v. Williams, 24 Ill.App.3d 593, 321 N.E.2d 281 (1st Dist. 1974) 26

720 ILCS 5/12-13(a) 25

D. NATURE OF THE CASE

The appellant's brief must contain a statement of "the nature of the action and of the judgment appealed from and whether the judgment is based upon the verdict of a jury, and . . . whether any question is raised on the pleadings, and if so, the nature of the question." Rule 341(h). The "Nature of the Case" merely apprizes the reviewing court of "the general area of what the case is about." See Rule 341, Committee Comments.

EXAMPLES

- (1) The defendant was charged by information with the offense of murder. Following a jury trial he was convicted of that offense. Defendant was subsequently sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 30 years. No issue is raised concerning the information.
- (2) After a jury trial the defendant was convicted of armed robbery and sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 15 years. The defendant appeals from the judgment and sentence imposed. No question is raised on the pleadings.
- (3) The defendant was charged by indictment with the offenses of murder and armed robbery. The defendant was tried by the court without a jury, and on April 3, 2003 was found guilty of both offenses. Defendant was sentenced to 40 years for murder and 20 years for armed robbery, the sentences to run consecutively.

This appeal challenges the sufficiency of the indictment in charging the offense of murder, in that it failed to allege the necessary intent.

(4) On December 13, 1993, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the offense of burglary and was sentenced to 5 years imprisonment. On December 29, 1990, the defendant filed a motion to vacate his plea of guilty. After a hearing, the motion was denied.

This is a direct appeal contesting the denial of the defendant's motion to vacate his guilty plea. No issue is raised regarding the pleadings.

(5) On May 8, 1991 the defendant was convicted of murder following a jury trial. He was subsequently sentenced to a term of not less than 14 nor more than 25 years. His conviction and sentence were affirmed on appeal.

(6) On October 25, 1993 defendant filed a post-conviction petition, which was dismissed without an evidentiary hearing on December 6, 1993. This is an appeal from the dismissal of the post-conviction petition.

E. ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW & APPLICABLE STANDARDS OF REVIEW

1. Issues Presented

This section of the brief should state the crux of the case in terms of the issues to be decided, "without detail or citation of authorities." Rule 341(h)(3). The "Issues" should be written in a clear, concise and (to the extent possible) appealing manner which invites the attention of the court and makes the issues seem significant. A lengthy, confusing, vague, or dull presentation of issues may cast the appeal in its worst possible light.

The statement of the issues should not simply assert abstract principles of law. Instead, it should be keyed to the facts of the case, fairly and accurately stated, and related to the legal proposition asserted:

The goal should be to frame the issue in such a manner as to include the key facts of the specific case on appeal. That is, it should be possible to state the ultimate or key facts which give rise to the issue that is to be determined on the appeal.²

Ideally, the “Issues” section should do more than merely “state the issue”; “[p]ersuasive issue statements present the issues in a light favorable to the advocate’s position, and invite the reader to view the issues from that perspective.”³

It is important to make certain that the "Issues" are actually the questions that are both presented by the facts and dispositive of the case. For example, an "Issue" phrased as "whether a suspect who is taken into custody and subjected to police questioning must be given Miranda warnings" most likely does not accurately state the question. The answer to this question or issue is obviously "yes," but is that answer likely to control the outcome? In all likelihood, the question to be decided is whether the defendant was actually in custody, whether he was questioned, or whether proper Miranda warnings were given.

EXAMPLES

(1) Whether the defendant's waiver of counsel was entered knowingly and intelligently where he was not informed of his right to a court-appointed attorney.

(2) Whether the defendant was denied a fair opportunity to present his defense, and thereby denied due process of law, where the trial court excluded a defense witness from testifying solely because she had gotten married and changed her name from that provided to the State in discovery.

² Re, **Brief Writing and Oral Argument** 118 (8th ed. 1999).

³ Sorkin, *Persuasive Issue Statements*,” 83 **Ill Bar Journal** 139 (1995).

(3) Whether, in the absence of any other positive proof and in light of unimpeached alibi testimony, one uncorroborated and unreliable identification established defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

(4) Whether the prosecutor committed reversible error in closing argument when he referred to the defendant as a "mad dog."

(5) Whether the trial court erred in giving an admission instruction based on defendant's statement that he knew the complaining witness, since that statement did not in any way infer guilt.

(6) Whether the defendant was prejudiced by the trial court's ruling allowing the State to impeach him with evidence of a twenty-eight-year-old minor military infraction and a nine-year-old misdemeanor conviction for resisting arrest.

(7) Whether the defendant is entitled to a new sentencing hearing because the trial court sentenced him for a felony without requiring a presentence investigation.

2. Standard of Review

A brief must include a "concise statement of the applicable standard of review for each issue, with citation to authority." Rule 341(h)(3). This "concise statement" may be included in the body of the argument or under a separate heading placed before the discussion of the argument. Note that each district of the appellate court has its own local rules which may include specific requirements for the Standard of Review. For instance, pursuant to Administrative Order 48, issued October 7, 2004, the Third District requires that "appellant shall set forth the standard of review under a separate

section entitled, “Standard of Review.” This section shall appear at the beginning of the arguments on each issue raised....”

In *People v. Coleman*, 183 Ill.2d 366, 701 N.E.2d 1063, 233 Ill.Dec. 789 (1998), the court stressed that the “abuse of discretion” and “manifestly erroneous” standards of review apply only where the trial court is in a superior position to determine the issue. The “manifestly erroneous” standard applies where the reviewing court is required to “review . . . factual and credibility determinations,” but not where only legal issues are involved. “Abuse of discretion” is the standard most deferential to the lower court’s findings, and is “traditionally reserved . . . for those decisions of the lower court which deserve great deference on review, *i.e.*, decisions made by the trial judge in overseeing his or her courtroom or in maintaining the progress of the trial.”

De novo review, on the other hand, applies where only legal issues are involved, because the reviewing court “has the same capability as does a circuit court” to determine the question.

The Illinois Supreme Court has recognized “mixed” standards of review for certain questions. For example, although the trial court’s factual findings on a motion to suppress a confession will be reversed only if against the manifest weight of the evidence, *de novo* review is applied to the ultimate question of whether the confession was voluntary. *In re G.O.*, 191 Ill.2d 37, 727 N.E.2d 1003, 245 Ill.Dec. 269 (2000). *See also People v. Harris*, 228 Ill. 2d 222, 886 N.E.2d 947 (2008) (trial court’s factual findings and credibility determinations on a motion to suppress are reversible only for manifest error, but *de novo* review is applied to the legal question of whether

suppression was warranted and where neither factual nor credibility determinations are at issue.) In *People v. Luedemann*, 222 Ill.2d 530, 857 N.E.2d 187 (2006) the court clarified that its prior holdings were not intended to modify the two-part, “blended” standard of review.

Case law concerning standard of review can be found in Section 2-7 of the **Criminal Law Handbook**.

EXAMPLES

(1) The standard of review is *de novo* because the question involves the application of Illinois law to undisputed facts. See *In re D.G.*, 144 Ill.2d 404, 408409, 581 N.E.2d 648 (1991).

(2) Since the facts are undisputed, whether the trial judge considered improper factors in aggravation is a question of law which this court may review *de novo*.

(3) The denial of a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty is typically reviewed to determine whether the court abused its judicial discretion. *People v. Hale*, 82 Ill.2d 172, 411 N.E.2d 867, 868 (1980). That discretion is more limited than that usually afforded a trial court, however, and “should always be exercised in favor of innocence and liberty and in the light of the preference that is shown by law for a trial upon the merits by a jury.” *People v. Morreale*, 412 Ill. 528, 107 N.E.2d 721, 723 (1952).

(4) The standard of review is whether the sentence imposed by the trial court was an abuse of discretion. *People v. McPhee*, 256 Ill.App.3d 102, 628 N.E.2d 523, 531 (1st Dist. 1993).

(5) The issue is whether defendant was proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The standard of review for this issue is whether, after reviewing the evidence most favorably to the prosecution, any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. *Jackson v. Virginia*, 443 U.S. 307, 99 S.Ct. 2781, 61 L.Ed.2d 560 (1979); *People v. Collins*, 106 Ill.2d 237, 478 N.E.2d 267 (1985).

(6) In Illinois, claims of ineffectiveness that appear on the record may be raised “for the first time on direct appeal.” Review of such claims is necessarily *de novo* because the trial court made no determination on the merits of the underlying issue. *People v. Tolefree*, 2011 IL App (1st) 100689.

F. JURISDICTION

1. Supreme Court

In cases appealed to the Supreme Court directly from the trial court or as a matter of right from the Appellate Court, the appellant's brief must contain a brief statement of the jurisdictional grounds for the appeal. This statement is to be contained under the heading "Jurisdiction." Rule 341(h)(4).

EXAMPLES

(1) The jurisdiction for this appeal is Supreme Court Rule 603, which provides that an appeal in which a statute has been held invalid shall be directly to this Court. In this case, the circuit court held that 730 ILCS 5/5-8-1(a)(2) is unconstitutional.

(2) The jurisdiction for this appeal "as a matter of right" is Supreme Court Rule

317, in that a question under the United States and Illinois Constitutions arose for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court. The Appellate Court reversed the defendant's conviction for burglary, and ordered the circuit court to enter a judgment of guilty on the offense of theft. Since the defendant was not charged with theft and theft is not a lesser included offense of burglary, the Appellate Court's decision resulted in conviction of an uncharged crime, in violation of due process under the United States and Illinois constitutions.

2. Appellate Court

In cases appealed to the Appellate Court, the "Jurisdiction" section must contain "a brief, but precise" statement or explanation of the basis for appeal, including: (1) the Supreme Court Rule or other law which confers jurisdiction upon the reviewing court, (2) the facts of the case which bring it within the rule or law, (3) the date the order being appealed was entered, and (4) any other facts necessary to demonstrate that the appeal is timely. Rule 341(h)(4).

EXAMPLES

(1) The defendant's appeal is from a final judgment of conviction, pursuant to Article VI, Section 6 of the Illinois Constitution and Illinois Supreme Court Rules 603 and 606. The defendant was found guilty of burglary and was sentenced on August 22, 1994. The defendant's notice of appeal was timely filed on September 6, 1994.

(2) This appeal from a final adjudication of delinquency is pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rules 660, 603 and 606. The minor was adjudicated delinquent on

July 13, 2016. A motion to reconsider that finding was filed on August 10, 2016, and denied on March 19, 2017. The minor was sentenced to the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice that same date. The minor's notice of appeal was filed April 17, 2017.

(3) The defendant's appeal is from a final judgment of conviction entered upon a plea of guilty, pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rules 603, 604(d) and 606. The defendant pleaded guilty to burglary on November 22, 1993, and sentence was imposed on the same date. A motion to withdraw the guilty plea was timely filed on December 6, 1993, and that motion was denied on December 27, 1993. The defendant's notice of appeal was timely filed on December 29, 1993.

(4) This interlocutory appeal is from the denial of the defendant's motion to dismiss charges on grounds of former jeopardy, pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 604(f). That motion was denied on June 22, 2016. Notice of appeal was timely filed on July 10, 2016. Jurisdiction therefore lies in this Court pursuant to Article VI, Section 6 of the Illinois Constitution and Supreme Court Rules 603, 604(f), and 606.

G. STATUTES INVOLVED

When an issue in the brief involves the construction or validity of a statute, constitutional provision, ordinance or regulation, the pertinent parts thereof must be included verbatim in a "Statutes Involved" section. Rule 341(h)(5).

When the pertinent provisions are lengthy, the citation alone may be placed in this section and the complete text set out in an appendix. In such cases, the "Statute Involved" section may simply state:

725 ILCS 5/108B-4 et. seq. Due to its length, the text of the statute is set out in Appendix A.

H. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Generally

An appellant's brief must contain a Statement of Facts containing "the facts necessary to an understanding of the case, stated accurately and fairly without argument or comment, and with appropriate references to the pages of the record on appeal in the format as set forth in the Standards and Requirements for Electronic Filing of the Record on Appeal . . ." Rule 341(h)(6). The Statement of Facts is a non-argumentative recitation of all facts needed by the reviewing court to fully understand the case and decide the issues.

The Statement of Facts is extremely important and should be written with great care. It should present the case in its most interesting and intelligible light - keeping in mind the issues raised - and must be accurate and honest. The Statement of Facts is the appellant's first opportunity to explain exactly what occurred below and to give the reviewing court an overall impression of the case.

2. Accuracy, Completeness, Honesty

The presentation of the facts must be accurate and complete. A reviewing court will have little confidence in you or your brief if your Statement of Facts is inaccurate.

One division of the Appellate Court has noted:

A careful review of the record demonstrates that the State selectively excluded from its citation to this court the prosecutor's final statement to the trial court . . . This statement is crucial to the resolution of this cause. We view the State's presentation in its brief as unprofessional. An advocate's duty is to present evidence and argument so the cause may be decided according to law, not to omit testimony and evidence which is damaging to its cause. An esteemed modern historian once said: "A biographer is a writer under oath." That is a wise remark, and as relevant to appellate advocates as to biographers.⁴

If it cannot be said that an honest and candid relationship with the reviewing court will win cases, in the long run the opposite is certainly true.

State the facts as they are. Never state that such and such is a fact when it is contradicted by other evidence or when an inference is required to reach that conclusion. For example, don't claim in the Statement of Facts that the prosecutor admitted such and such when all he or she did was remain silent. Instead, you should state that the prosecutor remained silent, and in your argument contend that the silence constituted an admission.

Trying to avoid facts contrary to your position is simply dishonest, and may well hurt your case and client when the prosecutor informs the reviewing court of the matters you failed to mention.

3. Nonargumentative

The Statement of Facts may relate only that - the "facts" - as shown by the record and without argument, opinion, or conclusions. For example, it is improper to

⁴ *People v. Walker*, 256 Ill.App.3d 466, 628 N.E.2d 207, 209 (1st Dist. 1993).

say in the Statement of Facts that a certain witness' testimony was "incredible," or that the witness "lied" when he said he was at the crime scene. However, it is proper to point out, if supported by the record, that the witness admitted that he testified in the hope of having other charges dismissed, or that he made prior statements that were inconsistent with his trial testimony.

Likewise, in the Statement of Facts it is improper to voice an opinion or state a conclusion concerning the meaning or legal effect of a comment by the prosecutor. For example, if the prosecutor in closing argument said that the State's evidence was "uncontradicted, unrebutted and undenied," the Statement of Facts should not claim that the prosecutor commented on the defendant's failure to testify. Instead, only set forth what the prosecutor said, and in the Argument section of the brief urge that the comment constituted an improper comment on the defendant's failure to testify. Of course, if defense counsel objected to the above comment on the ground that it was an improper comment on defendant's failure to testify, the Statement of Facts may point out the objection, because it simply relates something that occurred.

The Second District Appellate Court has noted that the reviewing court "may strike a statement of facts when our review is hindered by improprieties." **People v. Olsson**, 2016 IL App (2d) 150874. And, the First District has stated, "A brief cannot be relied on if it fails to acknowledge the full factual background. (citation omitted) As the English novelist, Aldonous Huxley, observed, 'Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored.' Huxley, *Proper Studies: Notes on Dogma*, 1927." **People v. Weinke**, 2016 IL App (1st) 141196.

4. Order of Presenting the Facts

The Statement of Facts should be organized in a way that enables the reviewing court to easily and quickly understand the important facts. Usually, the best way to accomplish this is to write an integrated statement of facts, setting out the facts in chronological order instead of the order in which the evidence was presented at trial. Chronological order is helpful to the reader because it starts at the beginning of an occurrence and continues through to the end. Presenting the facts in the same order as the witnesses were called at trial may result in repeating testimony and in the reviewing court reading testimony without knowing its importance, or even overlooking contrary testimony which came in later at the trial.

If chronological order is not the best method in a particular case, use another method that is concise, clear and easy to follow. However, you must use some orderly method.

Sometimes it is helpful to use subheadings within the Statement of Facts to separate the evidence, arguments or rulings on various points. For example, if a brief presents issues concerning the trial itself and motions to suppress, subheadings such as "Motion to Suppress Confession" and "Proceedings at Trial" might be used.

It may also be easier for the reviewing court to understand the facts if you have an introductory paragraph summarizing each party's evidence and theories at trial. For example, you might explain to the court that the State sought to connect the defendant to the crime by the identification testimony of the complainant, while the defense contended that the complainant was mistaken and that defendant was elsewhere. This

technique is often helpful because it lets the reviewing court know at the outset what critical questions faced the jury and why certain testimony was important. If you do use an introductory paragraph or summary of the case, make certain it is accurate.

Keep in mind that the positioning of the evidence in your Statement of Facts may be very helpful in putting the case in a favorable (but accurate) light. For example, you could state that the defendant was identified as the murderer by two State witnesses, and leave to the next page any evidence about their credibility. A more favorable impact might be gained by putting all the evidence together:

Two State witnesses identified the defendant as the person who shot the deceased. (R. 310, 350) One of these witnesses gave a prior statement to the police in which he denied any knowledge of the shooting - this was acknowledged by the witness himself (R. 316) and by two police officers. (R. 410, 423) The other witness admitted that he testified in this case after the State's Attorney agreed to dismiss a murder charge which was pending against him. (R. 374)

The positioning of facts, such as weaving the State and defense evidence together, may also highlight the importance of a particular evidentiary ruling that you claim was error. For example, if a State's witness testified that he saw the defendant run from the crime scene, the credibility question is highlighted if you immediately point out that four defense witnesses testified that at the time of the offense, the witness was with them over five miles from the crime scene. If you plan to argue that the credibility of the State's witness was improperly enhanced by inadmissible evidence or comments by the prosecutor, the reviewing court should be able to easily see from the Statement of Facts that any improper evidence or comment may have influenced the jury in deciding crucial credibility questions.

While quoting at length from the record should be avoided, a direct quote is frequently a powerful and forceful attention-getter. For example:

When asked whether she was sure that defendant was the attacker the witness said: "I'm sure, the policeman said it had to be him." (R. 110)

5. Source of Facts

Rule 341(h) requires that you set out the appropriate record citation for all facts included in the Statement of Facts. Make sure that your record cites are sufficient to allow the reviewing court and opposing counsel to quickly and easily locate the source of the facts in the record. "The court is not aided by a statement of facts which is replete with argument and conclusions and contains factual assertions without appropriate references to the abstract or record." *Harper v. Kennedy*, 15 Ill.2d 46, 153 N.E.2d 801, 806 (1958).

6. Length

Keep your statement of facts as short as possible without omitting necessary facts. Don't waste time by describing facts or events that have no bearing on the outcome of the appeal.

Remember, the statement of facts is not meant to be a dramatic novel:

It is Friday, March 2nd. Chicago rookie police officer Anthony Shaw Stein was performing his duties to defend and protect the people when suddenly three shots rang out! Blood ran in the streets of Chicago.

Again, it is important to know whether the reviewing court has any local rules governing the statement of facts. For instance, in the Third District Appellate Court,

the statement of facts is not to exceed 15 pages pursuant to Administrative Order No. 39, issued October 11, 1995.

7. Check Your Work

Go over your Statement of Facts very carefully and make certain it is accurate, complete, and nonargumentative, and that all appropriate record citations are included. When rereading the Statement of Facts, keep asking yourself whether it is easy for the court to follow and whether each fact is necessary. You should also ask whether the facts could be better phrased, positioned or organized, and whether the reviewing court might be curious about anything that is missing.

I. THE ARGUMENT

1. Generally

The form and style of an argument are the essence of advocacy, yet impossible to specifically describe. There is no such thing as the "perfect argument"; given exactly the same facts and law, it is likely that experienced appellate lawyers would write substantially different arguments.

Generally, however, the Argument section of the brief should contain the following parts:

- (a) an introduction - an assertion of the specific error alleged;
- (b) presentation of facts showing the conduct, ruling, or error complained of;
- (c) presentation of relevant legal authorities;
- (d) discussion of why the legal authorities apply to the facts of the case;
- (e) discussion of why the error prejudiced the defendant; and

(f) a conclusion restating the assertion that prejudicial error was committed.

When the issue was not properly preserved in the trial court, the Argument section should also address forfeiture and plain error. It may also be appropriate to argue that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not raising a meritorious issue.

Whether the facts or legal authorities should be presented first depends on the particular case and issue, and on the style of the attorney writing the argument. Sometimes it is better to present the facts first, so that the reviewing court knows at the outset exactly what occurred and understands the importance of the legal authorities. In other cases, it is better to set out the law and then present the facts that show how the law was violated.

2. Purpose of the Argument

Keep in mind at all times that you are attempting to persuade the court that your position is correct and that relief should be rendered in favor of your client:

(T)he real and vital central job is to satisfy the court that sense and decency and justice require the rule which you contend for . . . Your whole case, on law and facts, must make sense, inescapable sense, sense in simple terms of life and justice.⁵

3. State Your Position

As soon as possible, usually in the first paragraph, tell the court exactly what your position is. Sometimes your position or the issue is so clear from the heading that it is unnecessary to repeat it in the first paragraph. However, do not let the

⁵ Llewellyn, *The Modern Approach to Counselling and Advocacy*, 46 **Colum. L. Rev.**167, 183 (1946).

court read through your argument, or even a few pages thereof, without knowing exactly what you are contending. For example, when arguing insufficiency of the evidence, tell the court at the outset what you are going to show - that there was a fatal variance, a mistaken or suggestive identification, inherently incredible testimony, or conflicting testimony, or that no crime was committed.

It is extremely important to determine the specific legal ground or grounds for your argument and make sure that all appropriate grounds are clearly and fully presented. It is difficult to convince the reviewing court that error occurred and that relief is warranted, when the court doesn't know the specific legal basis for your contention.

Contentions of error may be based upon various grounds such as the violation of a statute, Illinois Supreme Court Rules, evidentiary rules established by court decisions, or the Illinois or United States constitutions. Make sure you present every appropriate ground in support of your argument. For example, if the admission of certain evidence was improper because it was hearsay, irrelevant, not disclosed in pretrial discovery, and a violation of the Federal and Illinois constitutional rights to confrontation, all these grounds should be argued.

It is particularly important to raise and argue all federal constitutional issues, as the failure to do so will likely preclude raising the issue in federal court. Don't foreclose the possibility of litigation in federal court by failing to assert an appropriate issue in federal constitutional terms.

4. Argue the Facts

A common error is to devote 75% of the "argument" to the law. You are not trying to show the court that you have worked hard and have found numerous court decisions which support your general principal of law - if the law is that settled, the court will probably be aware of it.

Usually the court is not hearing about an issue for the first time in your brief. Your primary job is to argue the facts of your case, to show how the events at trial improperly combined to unfairly convict your client. Argue the facts to make the reader "feel" the injustice of events.

After setting out the facts and the law at the beginning of your argument, you should discuss the applicability of the law to the facts and show how applying the law to the facts of the case justifies the relief you request.

5. Assertions

An argument is not an "assertion." To repeat an assertion over and over is not to argue or convince. In other words, instead of repeating "the sentence is excessive, the sentence is excessive, the sentence is excessive," the court should be told *why* the sentence is excessive. It is not enough to say that an error is prejudicial; counsel must explain *why* it is prejudicial.

In addition, the use of flowery words or phrases, cliches, and general platitudes do not answer the question "why." For example, to tell the court that the sentence for burglary is "unjust, unfair, and unconscionable" is merely repeating that it is excessive. Instead, counsel must tell the court *why* the sentence is unjust.

6. Authorities

Authorities are usually used for two purposes - as "threshold" authorities to roughly mark the area of the law in which your issue lies, and as "controlling" case law. Generally, threshold authorities need not be set out in great detail or with a lengthy explanation of the facts. Remember that citation of "numerous authorities in support of the same point is not favored." Rule 341(h)(7).

It is rare to have precedents which control a situation completely. Therefore, it is generally necessary to do more than merely set out the "controlling cases," add the facts of your case, and conclude. After setting out the "controlling cases," you generally must explain to the court why the principle that controlled in the precedent should also control in your case. If your facts fit into a general rule, then tell the court. But if your facts fit into an exception to a general rule, let the court know that also. Don't let the court think that you are requesting a change in a general rule if you are not.

Frequently, a case relied on to support your main proposition should be analyzed with a concise statement of the facts, the reasoning and the conclusion. This may be accomplished by a short quotation. However, long quotations should generally be avoided. After reading a brief or a court decision containing lengthy quotations, ask yourself if the following isn't true: "The reading eye tends to skip over lengthy quotes." It is generally more effective to summarize lengthy passages and then tell the court why the case is sound and applies to your case.

Of course, there may be times when a lengthy quotation is necessary or advantageous. For example, a quotation may sometimes be so compelling, clear and well written that a paraphrase would detract from its value.

Don't ignore court decisions that contradict your position. This is not to say that you must seek out all possible contrary authority or that you must cite all contrary authority from other states or lower courts. Just be honest - ask yourself if the contrary authority is important to the court in deciding your case. If so, it is much better to explain the adverse authority in your brief than to allow opposing counsel or the court to point out that you failed to mention a significant decision or line of authority.

The court will be highly suspicious of you and your work, present and future, if it thinks you are dishonest. It is better to confront the contrary authority head-on. Discuss it, explain it, distinguish it from the facts of your case, tell why it should not control your case, and when appropriate explain why it was erroneously decided or is obsolete.

One final, but extremely important, point: check the accuracy of the authorities you cite. Make certain that your presentation of the facts and the reasoning and rules of your authorities are correct. Make certain that every citation in your brief is accurate, and that none of your authorities have been overruled.

7. Show the Prejudice

It is not enough to convince the court that error occurred or that a certain principle controls the issue. The court is not going to reverse a conviction merely because some error was committed during the trial; you must show how your client

was adversely affected. Show the prejudice - the principle of "harmless error" is alive and well.

8. Brevity

Make your argument as brief as possible, without leaving out any of your key points. The court is not impressed by the length of arguments - don't take a paragraph or entire page to say what could be said in one sentence. "The long, burdensome brief is never read sympathetically - if it is read at all." Re, **Brief Writing and Oral Argument**, 10 (8th ed. 1999).

The key to brevity is organization. Before you write, organize your argument - know the facts that must be included, the court decisions, and the points of which you are trying to convince the court.

J. THE CONCLUSION

Every appellant's and appellee's brief must contain a conclusion which states the "precise relief sought, followed by the names of counsel as on the cover." Rule 341(h)(8). Be specific and tell the reviewing court the exact relief you want.

EXAMPLES

- (1) For the foregoing reasons, the defendant requests that his conviction be reversed and the cause remanded for a new trial.
- (2) For the foregoing reasons, the defendant requests that her conviction be reversed or, in the alternative, that she be granted a new trial.
- (3) Because the State failed to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant asks that his conviction be reversed. Alternatively, because the

trial court failed to consider a presentence report, the defendant asks that his sentence be vacated and the cause remanded for a new sentencing hearing.

K. APPENDIX

Supreme Court Rule 341(h)(9) requires that every appellant's brief contain an appendix in conformity with Supreme Court Rule 342. According to Rule 342, the appendix must include:

- (1) a table of contents to the appendix;
- (2) a copy of the judgment;
- (3) any opinion, memorandum, or findings of fact by the trial judge;
- (4) the notice of appeal; and
- (5) a complete table of contents of the record, including:
 - (a) the nature of each document order or exhibit (the information, motions to suppress, judgment, notice of appeal, etc.);
 - (b) the date of filing or entry of pleadings, motions, notices of appeal, orders and judgments;
 - (c) the names of all witnesses and the record pages on which their direct, cross and redirect examinations begin; and
 - (d) reference to the record page on which all documents will be found. Rule 342(a).

The Appellate Court has inherent authority to dismiss an appeal where the appellant's brief lacks the appendix required by Rule 342, although the court may elect to reach the issues raised. *People v. Wrobel*, 266 Ill.App.3d 761, 641 N.E.2d 16 (1st Dist. 1994).

The following examples illustrate how a table of contents may be written.

EXAMPLE 1

INDEX TO THE RECORD

COMMON LAW RECORD		PAGE OF RECORD			
Information					R. 100
Jury Instructions					R. 120
Pre-Sentence Investigation					R. 710
Notice of Appeal					R. 715
REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS					
Voir Dire					R. 2
State's Motion in limine					R. 14
OPENING STATEMENTS					
For the State					R. 28
For the Defense					R. 34
STATE'S WITNESSES		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT RECROSS	
April Adams	R. 41		R. 50		R. 60
Ben Blake	R. 67		R. 76	R. 109	R. 111
Carl Collins	R. 114		R. 140		R. 171
David Davis	R. 175		R. 189		R. 205
E.E. Ewell	R. 210		R. 268		
DEFENSE'S WITNESS					
Frank Flynn	R. 296		R. 338	R. 418	R.464
CLOSING ARGUMENTS					
State					R. 474

Defense	R. 486
State	R. 510
Instructions to the jury	R. 526
Verdicts	R. 554
Sentence	R. 599

EXAMPLE 2

INDEX TO THE RECORD

Document	Page of Record
Arrest Warrant	C. 9
Indictment	C. 18
Defendant's Motion to Suppress	C. 46-47
Order: Motion to Suppress denied	C. 54
Order: Defendant's Motion to Quash Arrest is Denied	C. 94
Jury Verdict	C. 155
Judgment on Verdict	C. 157
Post-Trial Motion	C. 166-167
Order: Defendant's Post-Trial Motions Denied	C. 169
Judgment Order	C. 176-177
Notice of Appeal	C. 186

Report of Hearing of 9-4-79 on Motion to Quash Arrests

Witnesses	Direct	Cross
April Adams	R. 209	R. 216
Ben Blake	R. 222	R. 237
Carl Collins	R. 257	R. 270

Report of Hearing of 10-29-30 and 31-79 - Defendant's Trial

State's	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross	Further Redirect
Witnesses					
April Adams	R. 617	R. 645	R. 658		
Bruce Bone	R. 661	R. 682	R. 696		
Carl Collins	R. 697	R. 712	R. 722		

Dennis Dinn	R. 728	R. 759	R. 791	R. 795	R. 797
Elaine Everett	R. 799	R. 821	R. 852	R. 859	R. 860

Defense

Witness	Direct	Cross
Frank Friend	R. 942	R. 952

Closing Argument by State	R. 961
Closing Argument by Defense	R. 1001
Rebuttal by State	R. 1020

Sentencing Hearing

Witnesses	Direct	Cross	Redirect
Zeke Zolo	R. 1087	R. 1091	
Yoho Yahoo	R. 1093		
X.X. Xray	R. 1099		
William Wray	R. 1103	R. 1108	R. 1111
Vince Can	R. 1112	R. 1114	R. 1114

Sentence Imposed by Trial Court	R.1152
---------------------------------	--------

L. BRIEF WRITING CHECKLIST

1. Keep in mind your purpose as the appellant's counsel: to *persuade* the reviewing court, based upon the facts of this particular case and legal authority, that reversible error was committed. And, of course, if you are the appellee, your job will be the opposite – to persuade the reviewing court that the lower court's decision was correct (See Ch. VII, below).

2. Comply with court rules on brief writing; reread the rules.

3. Keep in mind that all sections of the brief are important and require your thoughtful attention. Make sure your brief includes every section required.

4. Know your reviewing court - read opinions of that court, particularly dissenting and concurring opinions, and attend oral arguments or listen to them online at www.illinoiscourts.gov.

5. Be accurate - check and recheck your work.

6. Be concise. Reviewing courts have heavy caseloads, and if your brief is longer or more complicated than necessary, the court may choose to rely on your opponent's version of the case. Make sure your essential ideas are written in as few words as necessary; reread your work and ask yourself why each sentence is necessary and how it may be shortened.

7. Be clear - use plain, direct language. Reread your work, and have someone else read it to make sure the reader understands it as you meant it.

8. Make sure the legal issue you raise is actually presented by the facts of your case.

9. Make sure the legal issue is phrased in terms of the facts of your case, not simply as an abstract principle of law.

10. Make sure that your statement of facts is clear, accurate, complete, concise, and non-argumentative, and that it contains sufficient references to the record.

11. Make sure your argument contains the following essential parts: your contention of error, the facts which show the error, the legal authority, the application of the legal authority to the facts, a showing of prejudice, and a conclusion. Also, if the error was not preserved properly, your argument should contain a discussion of forfeiture and plain error, and possibly ineffective assistance of trial counsel.

12. Make sure your argument presents a sound analysis of the legal question.

13. Recheck the legal basis for every issue raised. Make sure that every legal ground or basis (such as whether the error is based upon a violation of Illinois statutes, Illinois evidentiary rules, or the State or federal constitution) is specifically urged and supported by pertinent authority.

14. Recheck the legal authorities you cite. Make sure they are cited correctly, stand for the proposition you claim, and have not been overruled or significantly altered.

15. Avoid using footnotes. (See Rule 341(a)).

16. Avoid lengthy, numerous, or broad quotations.

17. Be sure to request the specific relief that you want.

18. Make sure the appendix contains all necessary material.

19. Make sure your final product is complete, in the proper order and contains clear copies.

20. File the brief on time.

VII.

THE APPELLEE'S BRIEF

The brief for the appellee is required to contain the following, in the order listed:

- (1) a light blue cover;
- (2) points and authorities;
- (3) argument; and
- (4) a conclusion. (Rule 341(d), (i)).

In addition, counsel must certify that the brief meets the length and form requirements of Rule 341. Rule 341(c).

Unless the presentation in the appellant's brief is deemed unsatisfactory, an appellee's brief need not contain the nature of the case, a statement of the issues presented, a jurisdictional statement, the statutes involved, a Statement of Facts, or an appendix. Rule 341(i).

A Statement of Facts should be included when you disagree with a substantial portion of the appellant's Statement of Facts or when the appellant's statement of the facts is confusing, incomplete or lacks sufficient citations to the record. However, instead of writing a complete statement of facts when the appellant's facts are incorrect

or incomplete, it is sometimes more effective to merely point out the appellant's errors and omissions.

The counsel for appellee should always read the record to find the facts of the case - it is not sufficient to merely rely on the facts as presented in the appellant's brief.

In writing the appellee's brief, keep in mind that you do not have to phrase the issues as the appellant did. As appellee, you won in the court below, a fact that should be used whenever possible. For example, if the State appeals from an order of suppression, it may phrase the issue as "whether the search of defendant's home was valid." You, on the other hand, may view the issue differently, such as "whether the trial judge's findings were against the manifest weight of the evidence." Whenever a trial judge rules in favor of your client below, it is important to state the appropriate standard of review and consider arguing that the trial court did not abuse its discretion.

Likewise, the appellee's brief need not argue the issues in the same order as in the appellant's brief. But no matter how the appellee phrases the issues or arranges their order, all of the appellant's arguments must be discussed.

It is important to remember that the question before the reviewing court is the correctness of the result reached below and not the correctness of the reasoning. See *People v. Johnson*, 208 Ill.2d 118, 803 N.E.2d 442 (2003). Thus, as counsel for the appellee, you can argue the correctness of the result below even though your reasons may differ from those of the lower court.

Even if the appellant requested oral argument, you should also request oral argument on the cover of the appellee's brief. If the appellant later withdraws its request, your request for oral argument will be preserved.

The page limitation for the appellee's brief is the same as for the appellant's brief - 50 pages or 15,000 words, excluding the cover, points and authorities, certificate of compliance, certificate of service, and appendix. Rule 341(b).

The earlier discussion concerning the cover, Points and Authorities, Argument and Conclusion of the appellant's brief generally applies to the appellee's brief as well.

VIII.

THE REPLY BRIEF

The reply brief, if one is filed, must be "confined strictly to replying to arguments presented in the brief of the appellee." Rule 341(j). A reply brief need contain only a light yellow cover and Argument. (Rule 341(d), (j)). In addition, counsel must certify that the brief meets the form and length requirements of Rule 341(a) and (b). Rule 341(c).

A reply brief is limited to 20 pages or 6,000 words. Rule 341(b).

The filing of a reply brief is optional and depends upon the individual case. The purposes of a reply brief can be generally stated as follows:

- (1) To bring new or recent authority to the attention of the reviewing court.
- (2) To challenge and correct any misstatement of fact made by the appellee.
- (3) To challenge and correct any misstatement of law made by the appellee.
- (4) To challenge and correct the appellee's misstatements about the appellant's

position.

- (5) To point out why the authority relied upon by the appellee should not control the outcome of the case.
- (6) To point out why the appellee's position is incorrect (*i.e.*, for policy reasons).
- (7) To respond to any new issues or arguments presented by the appellee.
- (8) To correct any errors, confusion or misstatements in the appellant's opening brief.

A reply brief should be filed in a particular case if it would serve any of the above purposes. However, the reply brief must not simply restate or reargue what was contained in the original brief, and should be kept as short as possible and limited to important matters.

New issues may not be raised in a reply brief. *People v. Sparks & Nunn*, 315 Ill.App.3d 786, 734 N.E.2d 216, 248 Ill.Dec. 508 (4th Dist. 2000). Under *People v. Williams*, 193 Ill.2d 306, 739 N.E.2d 455, 250 Ill.Dec. 692 (2000), however, a plain error argument is not forfeited because it is raised for the first time in the reply brief. Because the State's forfeiture argument would itself be forfeited unless raised in the appellee's brief, "it would be unfair to require a defendant to assert plain error in his or her opening brief."

When writing your reply brief, it is also an excellent time to outline your anticipated oral argument. The case is fresh in your mind at this time, and oral argument may not be scheduled for at least a month (often times longer) after the

reply is filed. Taking a few minutes to craft the framework for your anticipated oral argument at the time of your reply brief can save you time in the long run.

IX.

ORAL ARGUMENT

A. PROCEDURE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Oral argument is not held automatically, but must be requested on the cover of the brief. Rules 352(a), 611. If one party requests argument, all other parties may argue whether or not a request was made. Rule 352(a). If a party requests oral argument and then decides to waive, he or she must promptly notify the clerk and the other parties. Any party who did not previously request oral argument may then do so. Rules 352(a), 611. In a juvenile case, if oral argument is requested by a party, the court will decide whether to call the case for oral argument no later than seven days from the due date of the appellant's reply brief. Rule 606A(e).

If "no substantial question is presented," the reviewing court may disregard a request for argument and decide the case on the briefs. Rule 352(a). Reviewing courts should dispense with oral argument "sparingly and only upon the entry of a written order stating with specificity why such power is being exercised in the affected case." Rule 352(a) requires that oral argument be held if even one member of the assigned panel requests it.

Rule 352(b) provides that, unless otherwise ordered, each side shall be allowed 20 minutes for its primary argument. The appellant is allowed additional time for

rebuttal, not to exceed 10 minutes. If only one side argues, the argument shall not exceed 15 minutes.

In practice, the Appellate Court imposes time restrictions other than as prescribed by Rule 352(b). It is important, therefore, to check the local rules of the district in which the argument is to occur.

Unless the court grants leave, no more than two attorneys may argue for each side. However, divided arguments by even two attorneys "are not favored." Rule 352(d). If a case involves more than one appellant, the attorneys may determine the order in which they will argue unless the court directs otherwise. Rule 352(e).

B. IMPORTANCE OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Where argument is available, counsel should utilize it unless he or she is reasonably certain that there can be no benefit to the client. Unless the issues are so simple that there is no possibility of the court misunderstanding them, oral argument gives counsel a final opportunity to make sure that the pertinent facts and specific issues are correctly perceived by the court. It also gives counsel an opportunity to clarify the issues, facts, and arguments, or to emphasize the overall importance of the case. Finally, oral argument affords counsel the opportunity to inform the reviewing court, face-to-face, why the client was denied a fair trial and why the case should be reversed.

In short, oral argument is an opportunity which should ordinarily be utilized. Although oral argument may not make a difference in every case, or perhaps in most cases, your case might be the one in which it could be important or even crucial.

C. CHECKLIST FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS

1. Before the Argument

- (a) Attend other oral arguments or listen to them on the Illinois Courts' website: www.illinoiscourts.gov. Try to observe arguments in various types of criminal cases - those with strong defense issues and those with weaker issues. Note the style of questioning by the individual justices. Observe the different styles of presenting arguments and attempt to use a style that is comfortable for you.
- (b) Discuss your case with other lawyers. They may see weak or strong points that you have overlooked, and can help anticipate questions.
- (c) Check the procedural history of your case; know when, where and how the error was first raised. Know whether there are forfeiture problems, and the appropriate response, before the court asks.
- (d) Understand why the errors prejudiced your client.
- (e) Know the facts of your case - not only the facts on which you rely, but also the facts which favor your opponent and any other facts that are possibly relevant.
- (f) Know your cases and those cited by the State. Be prepared to discuss the differences between the cited cases and your case, and be able to show why these differences are or are not important.
- (g) Check the Illinois Courts website and other sources (such as the OSAD website) for recent decisions on your issue, and to find if the cases cited in the briefs have been followed, distinguished or overruled. Shepardize all cases.
- (h) Prepare not only your own argument, but prepare (or at least know) the State's

argument as well. Know your theory and your opponent's theory. Understand the weaknesses and strengths of both sides. Put yourself in the shoes of the prosecutor and attempt to answer the defense arguments.

- (i) Know where to locate all important material in your brief and record. If you find errors in your brief, notify the clerk and opposing counsel before argument.
- (j) Know the basic positions the court must accept in order to grant relief to your client. You may be able to gain credibility by conceding points that are not important to your case.
- (k) Do not write out or memorize your argument. To avoid the impression you are giving a "canned speech," make only a brief outline of the points you want to make. While it is good to rehearse, try to vary your presentation from one rehearsal to the next.
- (l) Be prepared to discuss policy and point out the impact of potential rulings on other cases. Courts are frequently reluctant to grant relief that may affect many cases, so let the court know if a ruling in your favor can be limited to a narrow situation.

2. During the Argument

- (a) Be selective when choosing which arguments to present. You will probably not have enough time to argue every issue in the brief, and the court will expect to hear the best reasons for ruling in your favor. Make certain to tell the court which issues you do not plan to argue, and be prepared to respond to any questions on those issues.

- (b) Don't start your argument until your notes and briefs, etc. are in workable order on the podium. Do not rush through your points just to complete them.
- (c) Speak loudly enough for the justices to hear you clearly, and slowly enough for them to understand.
- (d) Try to use simple language. Remember that:

[p]eople cannot absorb complex information through their ears as quickly as they can through their eyes. You do not help your client if no one understands what you are trying to argue or if you “lose” the court in a long, convoluted argument.⁶

- (e) Supreme Court Rule 352(c) specifically prohibits reading "at length from the record, briefs, or authorities." You may read short passages from testimony or authorities when necessary, but be sure to explain why you are reading, identify what you are reading (including the page number and source), and give the court time to find the passage.
- (f) Be flexible in your approach; be ready to present your argument in any order suggested by the court's questions.
- (g) Judges ask questions for many reasons: to understand your argument, to explore the ramifications of a decision in your favor, to persuade another justice, or to direct you to an area which some member of the court feels is important. Look upon questioning as an opportunity to gauge the court's thinking, and direct your argument to the court's concerns.
- (h) Answer all questions when they are asked. Never say that you will deal with a

⁶ Ryan, “*Appellate Advocacy*” **The Champion**, Nov. 1994 at 38 (National Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys).

question later:

The judge who asked the question thinks it is important to ask it at the particular time he does. Even if it destroys the thread of your legal argument, answer that question immediately. Anything else will seem evasive, or be read as a confession that you cannot deal with the point.⁷

- (i) Never interrupt a question, even if it seems irrelevant to your case. Wait for the questioner to finish, respond as best you can, and then try to direct the court's attention back to your case.
- (j) If you don't understand a question, say so and ask the judge to repeat it. If necessary, admit that you don't know the answer instead of risking an ill-conceived concession. You can offer to find the answer and report it in a supplemental brief.
- (k) Be respectful, but firm. Stand your ground and show that you believe in your issues. The judges will respect you even if they disagree with your conclusions.
- (l) Clearly state the relief that you want. Be certain that the client will be helped by the relief and in fact wants it.
- (m) Take organized notes during the State's argument. Generally, the following areas should be noted for rebuttal: (a) misstatements by the State, (b) questions of the court left unanswered by the State, and (c) new matters raised by the State. Rebuttal is also a time to answer any questions you were unable to answer during your argument.

⁷ Cripe, "Effective Appellate Argument," 70 *ABAJ* 56, 59 (Dec. 1984).

- (n) It is not always necessary to make a rebuttal argument. Where the State has not damaged your case, it is better to stand on your opening argument than to merely repeat it.
- (o) If you make a mistake, correct it as soon as possible. If it can't be corrected, forget about it. Don't allow minor mistakes to upset you and cause you to do poorly during the rest of the argument.
- (p) There is nothing wrong with using all of your time, if what you are saying is worthwhile. However, if the court tells you your time has expired, sit down without trying to make additional points and without giving your conclusion.

X.

MOTIONS IN THE REVIEWING COURTS

Most motions in the reviewing courts are governed by Rule 361. However, special requirements apply to motions for extension of time in a criminal case. (See Rule 610 and Ch. VI, Section A(4) of this Handbook.)

Each District of the Appellate Court is required to adopt procedures for emergency motions. Rule 361(g). Bail motions are deemed emergency motions if so designated by the movant. Rule 361(g).

In addition, special rules apply to “Dispositive Motions,” which are motions which raise jurisdictional or other issues which would result in dismissal of an appeal (or a portion of an appeal) without a decision on the merits. Rule 361(h) requires that a dispositive motion (and any objection thereto) include all of the facts necessary for the court to consider the motion. Rule 361(h)(3) and (4). Dispositive motions are to be

decided promptly wherever possible, and should be taken with the case only if the court cannot resolve the issue without the complete record and full briefing. Rule 361(h)(1).

XI.

REHEARING IN THE REVIEWING COURTS

A. GROUNDS FOR REHEARING

Supreme Court Rule 367 provides that a petition for rehearing may be filed when a party feels that the reviewing court has overlooked or misapprehended important points. In reality, rehearing is rarely granted unless the law changed after the opinion was issued, the court completely misunderstood the facts, or controlling precedent was overlooked. Other possible reasons for rehearing are that the opinion decided an issue which was not argued or fully briefed, failed to reach an issue which the parties raised, or will lead to unforeseen consequences in other cases.

A party is not required to seek rehearing in order to preserve the right to ask for further appellate review.

B. PETITION FOR REHEARING

The petition for rehearing should be brief, and may not merely reargue the case. Rule 367(b). The petition must be filed within 21 days after the opinion is issued, unless the court lengthens or shortens the time. Rule 367(a). However, extensions of time to file a petition for rehearing are "not favored and will be allowed only in the most extreme and compelling circumstances." Rule 367(a). The petition may not exceed 27 pages or 8,100 words in length. Rule 367(a). Counsel is required to certify that the petition complies with the form and length requirements of Rule 341. Rules 341(c), 367(a).

C. RESPONSES AND ORAL ARGUMENT

Unless a petition for rehearing is granted, the opposing party may file an answer only if requested by the court. However, a court which denies rehearing may not make a substantive change in the relief that is ordered or denied unless an answer has been requested. Rule 367(d).

If an answer is filed, the petitioner has 14 days in which to reply. Oral argument is permitted only if ordered by the court on its own motion. Rule 367(d).

D. SUBSEQUENT PETITIONS

Once the appellate court has acted on a petition for rehearing, it may not entertain additional petitions. Rule 367(e).

XII.

SEEKING SUPREME COURT REVIEW

A. STAYING THE MANDATE

The mandate normally issues no earlier than 35 days after the appellate court's opinion, unless the court orders otherwise. Rule 368(a). If a petition for rehearing is denied, the mandate issues 35 days after the denial (unless the court orders otherwise). Rule 368(a).

In all cases except where an injunction has been modified by the Appellate Court, a mandate that has not yet issued is stayed by filing a petition seeking review by the Supreme Court. Rule 368(b). Such a stay is effective until the time for seeking review expires. If the petition seeking Supreme Court review was timely, the stay continues until the Supreme Court disposes of the case. Rule 368(b).

B. TYPES OF REVIEW

The most common method of Supreme Court review is a discretionary appeal, in which the appellant asks the court to entertain the appeal as a matter of sound judicial discretion. Defense attorneys may occasionally encounter two other types of review: appeal as a matter of right, and appeal on a certificate of importance.

1. Discretionary Review - Petitions for Leave to Appeal

(a) Generally

Discretionary review is sought by filing a petition for leave to appeal in the Supreme Court. A petition for leave to appeal may not exceed 20 pages or 6,000 words, excluding the cover, points and authorities, certificate of compliance, certificate of service, and any appendices. Rules 315(d), 612(b)(2).

The purpose of the petition is to state why the Supreme Court should take the case and not merely to argue the case on the merits. A common error is to focus on the injustice done to the individual client or on the fact that serious error has occurred, rather than on the policy factors that would justify making the case one of the handful reviewed by the Supreme Court. A former member of the Illinois Supreme Court discussed this problem:

Many attorneys concentrate exclusively on arguing why the decision of the appellate court should be reversed, without ever explaining why review by the supreme court is warranted. Such a strategy is a mistake. . . Rule 315 enumerates several factors that the court considers in deciding whether to allow a PLA; that the appellate court's decision was wrong is not among them. . .

A properly drafted PLA does not concentrate on why the appellate court's decision was wrong, but on why the appellate court's decision warrants review by the Illinois

Supreme court. These are two very different concepts, and keeping them straight will go a long way toward ensuring that the Illinois Supreme Court reviews your PLA in the proper light.”⁸

At a minimum, the petition for leave to appeal must contain:

- (1) a prayer for leave to appeal;
- (2) the dates on which the Appellate Court issued its opinion;
- (3) whether rehearing was sought, and if so, the date of the Appellate Court’s ruling;
- (4) a statement of the points relied upon for seeking review;
- (5) a "fair and accurate" statement of the facts necessary to understand the case (not merely those facts necessary to understand the issue upon which review is sought);
- (6) a short argument explaining why the Supreme Court should exercise its jurisdiction and modify the Appellate Court's decision; and
- (7) an appendix containing a copy of the Appellate Court's decision and any other documents necessary to consider the petition. Rule 315(c).

The petition may indicate on the cover whether oral argument will be requested if the petition is granted. Rule 315(k). Such a request has significance if leave to appeal is granted and counsel decides to allow the petition to stand as the brief.

(b) Time limits for filing

The time limits for filing a petition for leave to appeal depend upon the actions taken after the Appellate Court's decision. If no petition for rehearing was filed, the

⁸ Rathje, “*Petitions for Leave to Appeal: A Primer*,” DuPage County Bar Association Brief at 12-13 (March 1999).

petition for leave to appeal is due in the Supreme Court within 35 days after the Appellate Court's decision. Rule 315(b).

If a petition for rehearing was filed, the petition for leave to appeal is due 35 days after rehearing is denied or judgment is entered on rehearing. Rule 315(b). Motions to extend the time in which to file petitions for leave to appeal are "not favored and will be allowed only in the most extreme and compelling circumstances." Rule 315(b). However, on occasion the court has granted motions to file petitions for leave to appeal *instanter*.

If a motion to publish a Rule 23 Order is filed by the prevailing party in the Appellate Court, the opposing party's petition for leave to appeal is due 35 days after the motion is granted. Rule 315(b)(2). This provision is intended to allow a party that did not seek leave to appeal from the unpublished order the opportunity to do so once a motion to publish is granted. Committee Comments to Rule 315. A motion to publish is due within 21 days after the unpublished order is issued. Rule 23(f). A motion to publish does not invalidate a previously filed petition for leave to appeal. Rule 315(b)(2).

(c) Grounds for review

Supreme Court Rule 315 specifies four factors to be considered in determining whether discretionary review will be allowed:

- (1) the general importance of the question;
- (2) whether a conflict exists between the lower court's opinion and an opinion of the Supreme Court or another division of the Appellate Court;

(3) whether it is necessary for the Supreme Court to exercise its supervisory authority; and

(4) whether the judgment below is final or interlocutory. Rule 315(a).

The above list is not exhaustive, but indicates “the character of reasons which will be considered.” Rule 315(a). Other reasons which might be considered are the impact of the lower court's ruling on other cases, whether judicial resources will be expended because the question will frequently arise in other cases, whether the lower court's opinion involves an interpretation of a state statute, and whether the issue is one of first impression. For example, in the past review has frequently been granted where legislative enactments arguably conflict with Supreme Court Rules concerning the same topic.

As would be expected in view of the large number of petitions which come before the court each year, the presence of one or even several of the above criteria will not guarantee that leave to appeal will be granted.⁹ Various justices and members of the research department have indicated several practical considerations that often determine whether leave to appeal will be granted. For example, because the court views its role as assuring a uniform body of state law, the most likely case to gain review is one which conflicts with precedent from other appellate districts. The court

⁹ It is not unusual for the court to consider several hundred petitions for leave to appeal at a single term. A recent Chief Justice has estimated that the court grants only about ten percent of all petitions seeking discretionary review. (Remarks of Justice William G. Clark, *“Appeals from a Judicial Perspective,”* September 11, 1986.) The success ratio in criminal defense appeals is even lower.

also examines whether a particular case has broad impact on the criminal court system. According to former Justice Seymour Simon, it is more likely that leave to appeal will be granted when there was a dissent in the Appellate Court.¹⁰ Former Chief Justice Clark has stated that the likelihood of leave to appeal being granted increases dramatically when the Appellate Court's opinion not only attracted a dissent but also reversed the trial court.¹¹

On the other hand, the court rarely grants leave on reasonable doubt issues or on claims that the trial court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence. Leave to appeal is also less likely where the Appellate Court remands the cause for further proceedings in the trial court instead of finally resolving the case.¹²

Various sources have indicated that each petition is considered separately. Thus, the fact that an identical issue was denied review in a prior term does not necessarily indicate that subsequent petitions will also be refused. Leave to appeal may be denied for many reasons, including the caseload of the court at a particular time. Therefore, a petition which reaches the court when the caseload is smaller may stand a better chance of being granted.¹³

¹⁰ Simon, Seymour F., *Thoughts on How to Present a Successful Petition for Leave to Appeal*, 3 **Appellate Law Review** 25, 27 (Summer, 1991).

¹¹ Remarks of Justice William G. Clark, *Appeals from a Judicial Perspective*, September 11, 1986.

¹² Simon, 3 **Appellate Law Review** 25, 28-29.

¹³ For example, during the September term the court considers all petitions that have accumulated over the summer. This means that several hundred petitions may be decided at once. A petition filed a few months later, when the caseload is smaller, may have a better chance of being granted. Experienced appellate attorneys often determine the cut-off date for a particular term (usually about twenty days before the term opens), and whenever possible time their petitions to avoid the periods of heaviest filings.

(d) Preparing the petition for leave to appeal

It is important that appellate counsel understand the procedure by which the Supreme Court decides petitions for leave to appeal. Generally, once a petition is docketed, an attorney from the court's research department prepares a memorandum of one to three pages. This document lists the action taken by the trial and appellate courts, a brief statement of the facts, and a one or two-paragraph summary of the arguments. The memorandum normally includes references to specific precedents only if there is a claim that a case is precisely on point or that the lower court's opinion conflicts with other cases. Each of the arguments contained in the petition may receive only two or three sentences in the memorandum.

After receiving the memorandum, a judge may either personally examine the petition or evaluate the case solely from the research department's summary. Each justice indicates to a clerk whether review should be granted, and four votes are needed to grant leave to appeal. Cases are not necessarily discussed by the court as a whole before the vote is taken.

In preparing a petition for leave to appeal, counsel must remember that he or she is writing primarily for the benefit of a staff attorney and that the decision whether to grant leave to appeal may be based mostly on that attorney's summary of the arguments. To the extent that counsel can make the staff attorney's job easier, it is more likely that the memorandum will state the argument in the most favorable terms. The petition should therefore be brief and state the reasons for

granting review in a straightforward manner, with emphasis on any unusual facts or considerations which might distinguish the case from others on the docket.

Counsel should also remember that even if a justice does examine the petition itself, he or she may do so only briefly and will be looking only for the most important reasons to grant review. Some attorneys like to include a section entitled "Reasons This Court Should Grant Review," which is separate from the argument section and is usually included toward the beginning of the PLA.

Generally, the petition should present only the most meritorious arguments. The court rarely grants leave to appeal in cases which present more than one or two issues, and is likely to regard the listing of several issues as an indication that none are particularly strong. Although special circumstances might justify the inclusion of several issues - as where the Appellate Court's opinion interprets a major statute for the first time - such cases are rare.

Counsel should note, however, that federal review may be unavailable for issues that are omitted from the petition for leave to appeal. Before seeking federal *habeas corpus* relief, a State prisoner must "exhaust" State remedies by giving State courts an opportunity to correct the alleged violation of federal constitutional law.

A petitioner exhausts State remedies by fairly presenting his claim in the appropriate State court and clearly alerting that court to the federal nature of the claim. In *Baldwin v. Reese*, 541 U.S. 27, 124 S.Ct. 1347, 158 L.Ed.2d 64 (2004), the Supreme Court held that the defendant failed to exhaust State remedies where he

filed a petition for discretionary review by the State's highest court, but omitted the issue on which federal *habeas* relief was subsequently sought.

Thus, an issue on which federal *habeas* relief may be sought should be included in the petition for leave to appeal, in order to avoid any issue concerning exhaustion of remedies.

Counsel must also exercise care in deciding which arguments to make because in all likelihood, issues left out of the original petition will not be considered if leave is granted. In *People v. Anderson*, 112 Ill.2d 39, 490 N.E.2d 1263 (1986), the Supreme Court held that issues not included in the original petition for leave to appeal are waived and may be considered only in the court's discretion. While not specifying what grounds would justify exercising such discretion, the *Anderson* court refused to consider arguments that had been rejected by the Appellate Court and not included in the petition. See also *People v. Ward*, 113 Ill.2d 516, 499 N.E.2d 422 (1986), in which the court refused to consider the sufficiency of the evidence where the only issue raised in the petition for leave to appeal was whether the trial court had considered an improper factor at sentencing, and the newly-raised argument had previously been rejected by the Appellate Court. The *Anderson* and *Ward* cases suggest that the court might exercise its discretion to consider additional arguments only in those rare cases where critical issues come to light only after the petition has been filed, or where the constitutionality of a statute is at issue. See *People v. McCarty*, 223 Ill.2d 109, 858 N.E.2d 15 (2006).

On the other hand, where a petition for leave to appeal is allowed, the appellee may seek any relief warranted by the record, even though he or she did not file a separate petition for leave to appeal. Rule 318(a); *People v. Roundtree*, 115 Ill.2d 334, 503 N.E.2d 773 (1987). In addition, in the interests of judicial economy the Supreme Court may elect to decide issues that the Appellate Court failed to consider. *People v. Reid*, 136 Ill. 2d 27, 554 N.E.2d 174 (1990) (issue not considered by Appellate Court was decided by Supreme Court where the trial judge resolved the issue in the appellee's favor, the parties fully briefed and argued the issue, and the interests of judicial economy would be served.)

Where possible, counsel should determine whether the court has granted leave to appeal on a similar issue, and include that fact in the petition. Although the research department attempts to determine whether similar issues are pending, the only way to make certain that the court is aware of this fact is to prominently mention the pending case in the petition itself. The Office of the State Appellate Defender maintains a list of significant pending Illinois Supreme Court cases on its [web site](#).

(e) Answering leaves to appeal

Although it is not necessary to file an answer to a petition for leave to appeal, the respondent may do so within 21 days after expiration of the time for filing the petition. The answer should set forth reasons why the leave to appeal should be denied, and should conform to the extent appropriate to the rule for the contents of a petition for leave to appeal. Rule 315(f).

In practice, answers to petitions for leave to appeal are rarely filed. Because the court is able to devote relatively little time to each petition, an answer may attract more attention to a case than it might otherwise. Therefore, it is rarely advisable to file an answer unless there are compelling reasons why leave to appeal should not be granted or the case involves an issue on which review is almost certain.

If an answer is to be filed, counsel must keep in mind that the issue is whether the Supreme Court should consider the case, not the merits of the lower court's action. The appropriate response is to explain why leave to appeal is not warranted, and not to focus on why the lower court's decision was correct on the merits.

Under Rule 315(f), a respondent who does not file an answer will be informed of the disposition of the petition only if he or she makes a written request to the clerk.

(f) Notice requirements if review is granted (Rule 315(h))

After leave to appeal is granted, the appellant has 14 days to indicate whether he or she will file an additional brief or allow the petition for leave to appeal to stand as the brief. If the appellant decides to file an additional brief, it is due within 35 days after leave to appeal was allowed. Although Supreme Court Rule 315(h) states that motions for extensions of time to file briefs are not favored, such motions are granted far more readily than are motions for extensions of time in which to file petitions for leave to appeal or petitions for rehearing.

An appellant who elects to allow the petition to stand as a brief must prepare a complete table of contents to the record and state the standards of review for each issue. Rule 315(h).

If the appellant elects to allow the petition for leave to appeal to stand as a brief, the appellee must indicate within 14 days whether he or she will let the answer, if one was filed, stand as the brief. Rule 315(h). If an additional brief is to be filed, it is due within 35 days of the appellant's notice of election. Rule 315(h).

If the appellant chooses to file an additional brief, the appellee has 14 days in which to serve notice whether an additional brief will be filed and 35 days to file the additional brief. Rule 315(h).

2. Review as a Matter of Right

Supreme Court Rule 317 allows review as a matter of right where a federal or state statute is invalidated or a constitutional question raised for the first time in and as a result of the Appellate Court's action. Appeal as a matter of right is initiated by filing a petition that is similar to a petition for leave to appeal, except that the argument concerns whether there is a right to appeal. Where a party seeks to appeal both as a matter of right and as a matter of judicial discretion, Rule 317 requires a single petition including both claims.

3. Review on Certificate of Importance

Supreme Court Rule 316 permits an Appellate Court to certify that its decision involves a question of such importance that it should be decided by the Supreme Court. A party may apply for a certificate of importance within 35 days after the opinion is

entered. If a petition for rehearing is filed, the request must be made within 14 days after the Appellate Court acts on the petition. An application for a certificate of importance does not extend the time for filing a petition for leave to appeal.

Certificates of importance are rarely granted, except when different panels of the same appellate district reach contradictory conclusions on the same question.

APPENDIX A

INDEX TO SUPREME COURT RULES

FOR CRIMINAL APPEALS

TOPICS	RULES
Amicus Curiae Briefs	345; 612(b)(11)
Appeal:	
Advising of right to	605; 651(b)
By indigents	607
By State	315; 316; 317; 604(a), (e)
Court:	
Where to take appeal	302; 603; 612(b)(2)
Appeals from Appellate Court to Supreme Court	315; 316; 317; 318; 612(b)(2)
Appeal to wrong court	365; 612(b)(13)
Dismissal of appeal by trial court	309; 612(b)(1)
From bail orders	604(c)
From guilty pleas	604(d); 605(b), (c)
In delinquency proceedings	660; 660A; 661
In Post-Conviction proceedings	651
In probation or supervision cases	604(b)
Perfection of	606
Plain error	615(a)
Powers of reviewing court	615(b)
Appellate Court:	
Bail on Appeal	604(a)(3); 609(a)

Jurisdiction	603
Late Notice of Appeal	606(c)
Mandate	368; 612(b)(15); 613
Powers of	615(b)
Stay	368; 609; 612(b)(15)
Appendix to Brief:	
Appendix	342
Citations	6
Contents of	341(h);
Corrections in	361(e)
Cover	341(d)
Cover colors	341(d)
Extending time	343(c);
Footnotes	341(a)
Form of	341; 612(b)(9)
Number of copies	341(e); 607(d); 612(b)(9)
Page limitations	341(b); 612(b)(9)
Reply Brief	341(j)
Reproduction methods	341(e), 612(b)(9)
Time for filing	343; 612(b)(10)
Habeas Corpus	381
Indigents:	
Appointment of counsel for appeal	607(a)
Appointment of counsel for juvenile appeal	661; 607
Appointment of counsel for post-conviction appeal	651(c)

Filing fees excused	607(c)
Record	607(b)
Juvenile Appeals	660; 660A; 661
Leave to Appeal	315; 612(b)(2)
Mandamus	381
Mandates	368; 612(b)(15); 613
Motions	361; 610
Notice of Appeal	606
Opinions and Unpublished Orders	23
Oral Argument	351; 352; 604(c)(5); 611
Plain Error	615(a)
Post-Conviction Appeal	651
Record:	
Amendment or Correction of	329; 608; 612(b)(7)
Contents on Appeal	608
Indigent; right to free	604(d); 607(b)
Notice of filing	327; 612(b)(6)
Preparation and certification of	324; 327; 608(a); 612(b)(4); (6)
Removal from court	372; 612(b)(17)
Time for filing	608(b), (c), (d)
Transmission of	325; 612(b)(5)
Rehearing, Petition for	367; 612(b)(14)
Reply brief	341(j)
Standard of Review	341(h)(3)
Stays	368; 609; 612(b)(15)
Supreme Court:	

Appeal from Appellate Court	315; 316; 317; 318; 612(b)(2)
Direct appeal	302; 603; 612(b)(2)
Motions for Supervisory Orders	383
Original jurisdiction	381; 382; 383

APPENDIX B

OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER

ISSUES CHECKLIST

The following section contains an issues checklist which may be useful in finding issues in a record. The checklist does not include all possible issues which may be raised, and should serve only as a tool to double check your review of the record. The checklist contains a cross-reference to pertinent sections in the **Criminal Law Handbook** and the **Monthly Criminal Law Digest**.

ISSUES	RESEARCH SOURCE¹⁴
A. PRE-TRIAL GENERALLY	
1. Properly charged by information or complaint	29-1
2. Offense (elements) properly charged (and specific offense)	29-4
3. Charge properly amended	29-5
4. Venue Proper	52
5. Double jeopardy - collateral estoppel	17
6. Delay in commencing prosecution	46-2
7. Substitution of judge	31-3
8. Change of place of trial	32-5(b)
9. Valid counsel waiver	14-2
10. Fitness for trial properly determined	21
11. Continuance problem	51-6
12. Discovery problem	15
13. Joinder – Severance problem	50
14. Speedy trial problem	46
15. Jury selection problem	
(a) Generally	32-4(a)

¹⁴ References are sections in the [Criminal Law Handbook](#) where cases related to the issue may be found. The same numbering system is used for the [Monthly Criminal Law Digest](#)

- (b) Exclusion on race or sex 32-4(b),(c)
- 16. Valid jury waiver 32-3

B. SUPPRESSION PROBLEMS

1. Search and Seizure
 - A. Standing problem 43-2(b)
 - B. Warrant
 - (i) Probable cause, facts furnished to judge, hearsay – reliability delay in obtaining 43-4(b), 43-4(c)
 - (ii) Particularly described place/person to be searched and items to be seized 43-5(a)(4) & (5)
 - (iii) Issued by neutral judge and comply with procedures 43-5(a)(1)-(3), (6)
 - (iv) Improper execution of - time of, manner of entry, scope of search 43-5(b)
 - C. Warrantless
 - (i) Warrantless entry 43-2(d)
 - (ii) Incident to arrest - probable cause for arrest, search prior to arrest, scope of search 43-2(d)(1), 43-3(c)(2)
 - (iii) Consent to search - valid consent authority of 3d party to consent, scope of search 43-2(d)(6)
 - (iv) Plain view - police saw from lawful place, viewing advertent, immediately apparent that item was evidence of crime 43-2(d)(5)
 - (v) Motor vehicles - improper stop, scope of search, probable cause 43-6
 - (vi) Inventory search - right to seize, need to open, scope too broad, crime related purpose, standard policy 43-2(d)(2)
 - (vii) Stop and frisk - sufficient grounds for stop, was it an arrest, scope of search, airport and terminal 43-3(b)

(viii) Emergency search - time to obtain warrant, scope of search	43-2(d)(7)
(ix) Search by private party - acting as police agent	43-1(c)
(x) Administrative search	43-2(d)(3)
D. Eavesdropping	43-2(c)(1)
E. Fruit of poisonous tree	43-1(e)
F. Proper suppression hearing, findings of fact, conclusions of law	43-8
2. Confessions - Admissions	
A. Miranda violation - in custody, interrogation, proper warnings, effective waiver	10-3, 10-4
B. Interrogation after a request for counsel or to remain silent	10-4(c), (d)
C. Involuntary	10-5
D. Use of defendant's silence or failure to testify	10-8
E. Impeachment with inadmissible statements	10-7
F. Statements after illegal arrest	10-6, 43-1(e)(2)
G. Plea negotiation statements	10-9
H. Use of co-defendant's statements	10-10
I. Statements during mental exam	10-11
J. Proper suppression hearing	10-2
3. Identification	
A. Suggestive	27-1
B. Independent basis for	27-6
C. Right to counsel at	27-2
D. Photographic	27-4
E. Proper suppression hearing	27-8

C. TRIAL

1. Generally	
A. Public trial	51-1
B. Defendant present (trial in absentia)	51-2
C. Physical restraints	51-3
D. Defendant in jail clothes	51-3
E. Interpreter problems	51-5
F. Joinder / severance	50
2. Counsel	

A. Right	14-1
B. Waiver of	14-2
C. Counsel fees	14-3
D. Ineffective assistance	14-4
E. Conflict of interest	14-6
F. Right to argue, object, make offer of proof	51-4, 19-7(b)
3. Prosecutor	
A. Misstating law or evidence	40-3, 40-4
B. Making insinuations	56-6(b)(4)(i)
C. Continuing with argument/question after objection sustained	40-7
D. Using false evidence	15-1, 40-1, 40-6
E. Comments:	
(i) Defendant not testifying	10-9, 41-9
(ii) Defendant's failure to call witnesses	40-10
(iii) Facts not in evidence (opinion)	40-4, 40-8
(iv) Rulings of judge/exclusion of evidence	40-6
(v) Race	40-14
(vi) Defense counsel	40-13
(vii) Victim's family/injuries	40-15
(i) Misc. matters	40-1
(ii) Defendant	40-12
4. Judge	
A. Comments/insinuations	31-1(a)
B. Opinion on evidence/defenses	31-1(a)
C. Questioning witnesses	31-1(b)
D. Hostility toward defense counsel	31-(a)1
E. Substitution of	31-3
F. Private investigation by	31-2(c)
5. Witnesses	
A. Obtaining and calling	56-1
B. Experts for indigents	56-1(c)
C. Discovery of	15-4
D. Competency of	56-3
E. Cross-examination of	56-6(b)
(i) Impeachment	56-6(b)(4)
(ii) Bias	56-6(b)(4)(b)
(iii) Immoral conduct	56-6(b)(4)(d)
(iv) Drug use	56-6(b)(4)(e)
(v) Prior convictions	56-6(b)(4)(f)
(vi) Pending charges	56-6(b)(4)(g)

(vii) Insinuations	56-6(b)(4)(i)
6. Evidence	
A. Right to present	19-1
B. Relevant / material	19-2
C. Objections / offers of proof	19-7
D. Limited purpose	19-3
E. Curative admissibility	19-3
F. Character / reputation	19-8
G. Courtroom demonstrations	19-5
H. Escape / flight / tampering	19-9
I. Hearsay	19-10
(i) Admissions	19-11
(ii) Co-conspirator statements	19-12
(iii) Consistent statements	19-16
(iv) Corroborative complaints	19-14(b)
(v) Dying declarations	19-13
(vi) Prior identification statements	19-17
(vii) Inconsistent statements	19-15
(viii) Penal interest, against	19-19
(i) Physician, statements to	19-21
(ii) Testimony from prior proceedings	19-18
(iii) Spontaneous declarations	19-14(a)
(iv) State of mind	19-20
(v) "Completeness" doctrine	19-10(e)
(vi) Hearsay statements of child	19-14(b), (c)
(vii) Business records	19-28(b)
(viii) Past recollection recorded	19-28(e)
A. Judicial notice	19-22
B. Opinion	19-23
(i) Non-expert	19-23(a)
(ii) Expert	19-23(b)
C. Other crimes evidence	19-24
(i) Substantive evidence	19-24
(ii) Impeachment	56-6(b)(4)(f)
D. Photographs	19-25
E. Physical evidence – foundation, chain of custody	19-5
F. Privileged communications	19-26
G. Scientific evidence	19-27
H. Writings	19-28
(i) Best evidence rule	19-28(a)
(ii) Business records	19-28(b)

(iii) Refreshing recollections	19-28(d)
(iv) Past recollection recorded	19-28(e)
7. Jury	
A. Right to – Waiver of	32-1, 32-3
B. Selection of	32-4
C. Impartial	32-5
D. Communications with	32-6(c)
E. Questions from	32-6(a)
F. Sequestration	32-7
G. Instructions to	32-8
H. Polling of	32-9
I. Impeachment of verdicts	53-4
8. Sufficiency of evidence	
A. Every element of offense proven	41-1, 41-2
B. Proof conform to charge (variance)	41-8
C. Affirmative defense rebutted	41-3
D. Conviction based on	
(i) Circumstantial evidence	41-4
(ii) Presumptions	41-3
(iii) Conflicting, confusing testimony	41-5
(iv) Doubtful identification	41-6
(v) Accomplice testimony	41-7
E. Inconsistent verdicts	53-2
F. Multiple verdicts on same acts	53-3
G. General verdicts	53-1

D. SENTENCING

1. Validity of statute/effective date	
A. Generally	44-1(a), (b), 47-2
B. Due process	44-1(b)(1)
C. <i>Apprendi</i>	44-1(b)(3)
2. Presentence report	44-3(d)
3. Hearing generally	44-3(a)
4. Aggravating and mitigating factors	44-4
5. Reasons for imposing sentence	44-6
6. Probation, periodic imprisonment, conditional discharge, supervision	39
A. Denial of	39-3
B. Conditions of	39-4
C. Revocation of	39-5
D. Resentencing	39-6
7. Restitution, fines, court costs	44-7

8. Drug abuse treatment	44-8
9. Consecutive sentences	44-9
10. Enhanced/Extended term	44-10
11. Disparity in sentences	44-15
12. Excessive sentences	44-14
13. Sentence credit	44-16
14. Modification by trial judge	44-12
15. Resentencing	44-18
16. Unauthorized sentence	44-13
17. Delay in executing sentence	44-11

E. GUILTY PLEAS

1. Voluntary	24-5
2. Proper admonishments	24-6
3. Factual basis	24-7
4. Counsel waiver	24-2
5. Unfulfilled promises	24-4
6. Motions to vacate pleas	24-8
7. Plea bargaining	24-3

APPENDIX C

OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER

WEB SITE OVERVIEW

The [State Appellate Defender Web Site](#) contains several of the most popular agency publications, including the Criminal Law Handbook, Summary of Issues Pending in the Illinois Supreme Court, and this Handbook on Briefs and Oral Arguments. The site also contains Annual Reports, information on expungement and sealing of criminal records, and a link to the Illinois Juvenile Defender Resource Center. Many of the documents on the web site can be downloaded.

The web site also contains links to several other Internet sites that are useful to attorneys practicing criminal law. Among these are sites containing the text of recent opinions from the United State Supreme Court, the federal courts of appeals, the Illinois Supreme Court, and the Illinois Appellate Court.